File Nos. Cl17-01-05956 Cl17-01-05957 and Cl17-01-05958

File No. CI17-01-05956

BETWEEN:

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

LADCO COMPANY LTD.

applicant,

- and-

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent,

APPLICATION UNDER: The City of Winnipeg Charter, S.M. 2002, c. 39; The Constitution Act 1867 and The Court of Queen's Bench Act S.M. 1988-89 c.4

File No. Cl17-01 -05957

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

IN THE MATTER OF: The City of Winnipeg Charter Act, City of Winnipeg By-law 127 /2016 and Section 92(2) of the Constitution Act 1867

BETWEEN:

RIDGEWOOD WEST LAND CORP., and SAGE CREEK DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION applicants,

- and -

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent.

File No. CI17-01-05958

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

IN THE MATTER OF: City of Winnipeg By-law 127/2016, as amended and passed on October 26, 2016, *The City of Winnipeg Charter* S.M. 2002, c. 39 and subsections 92(2) and (9) of the *Constitution Act*, 1867

BETWEEN:

URBAN DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE (MANITOBA DIVISION) AND MANITOBA HOME BUILDERS' ASSOCIATION INC.,

applicants,

- and -

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent.

AFFIDAVIT OF MIKE STEVENS SWORN THIS 3rd DAY OF JULY, 2019

DD WEST LLP

Barristers and Solicitors 300 – 305 Broadway Winnipeg MB R3C 3J7

BRIAN J. MERONEK, Q.C./JENNIFER S. HANSON

Telephone No.: 204-421-8655 Fax. No.: 204-421-8566

File No.: 131784-0001

File Nos. Cl17-01-05956 Cl17-01-05957 and Cl17-01-05958

File No. CI17-01-05956

BETWEEN:

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

LADCO COMPANY LTD.

applicant,

- and-

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent,

APPLICATION UNDER: The City of Winnipeg Charter, S.M. 2002, c. 39; The Constitution Act 1867 and The Court of Queen's Bench Act S.M. 1988-89 c.4

File No. CI17-01 -0 5957

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

IN THE MATTER OF: The City of Winnipeg Charter Act, City of Winnipeg By-law 127 /2016 and Section 92(2) of the Constitution Act 1867

BETWEEN:

RIDGEWOOD WEST LAND CORP., and SAGE CREEK DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION applicants,

- and -

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent.

File No. Cl17-01-05958

THE QUEEN'S BENCH WINNIPEG CENTRE

IN THE MATTER OF: City of Winnipeg By-law 127/2016, as amended and passed on October 26, 2016, *The City of Winnipeg Charter* S.M. 2002, c. 39 and subsections 92(2) and (9) of the *Constitution Act*, 1867

BETWEEN:

URBAN DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE (MANITOBA DIVISION) AND MANITOBA HOME BUILDERS' ASSOCIATION INC., applicants,

- and -

THE CITY OF WINNIPEG,

respondent.

AFFIDAVIT OF MIKE STEVENS

I, MIKE STEVENS, of the City of Winnipeg, in the Province of

Manitoba, Partner of Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP,

MAKE OATH AND SAY THAT:

1. I am a Partner of Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP

("Exchange Group"), and as such I have personal knowledge of the matters

hereinafter deposed to by me except where they are stated to be based on information and belief, in which case I believe them to be true.

2. The Exchange Group is a Chartered Professional Accounting firm providing accounting, assurance and consulting services.

3. The Exchange Group is independent of the City of the City of Winnipeg, the Applicants and DD West LLP.

4. The Exchange Group was engaged by DD West LLP, solicitor for the City of Winnipeg ("City"), to prepare an expert report relative to the City's costs to fund capital projects as compared to the revenues available to fund capital projects including taking into account the potential revenue generated by the application of the regulatory fees (Impact Fees) as calculated in the 2016 Hemson Report Determination of Regulatory Fees to Financial Growth : Technical Report's Impact Fees.

5. Attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A" to my affidavit is a copy of the Exchange Group Report.

6. Attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "B" to my affidavit is a copy of

the Schedules to the Report.

7. I make this Affidavit in good faith.

SWORN before me at the City of Winnipeg, in the Province of Manitoba, this 3rd day of July, 2019,

A Notary Public in and for the Province of Manitoba

OTIN

C

MIKE STEVENS

This is Exhibit "A" Referred to in the Affidavit of Mike Stevens Sworn before me at the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba This 3rd day of July, 2019

.

A Notary Public in and for the Province of Manitoba

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees June 2019



Prepared by:

Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP

Winnipeg, Canada Telephone 204.943.4584 www.exg.ca

Contact:

Mike Stevens | CPA | CA-IT | CFE

mike.stevens@exg.ca Telephone 204.947.7145

EXCHARTERED PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTANTS BUSINESS & TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANTS GROUP

Independence Statement

Engagement

Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP was engaged by DD West LLP, solicitor for the City of Winnipeg, to prepare a Global Financial Analysis of the City of Winnipeg Report relating to implementing the 2016 Hemson Determination of Regulatory Fees to Finance Growth: Technical Report's Impact Fees.

Professional Disclosures

Exchange Group is a Chartered Professional Accounting firm providing accounting, assurance and consulting services.

Rules of Professional Conduct: The Manitoba Chartered Professional Accountants' Rules of Professional Conduct requires us to be independent to prepare this report.

Independence: Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP is independent of the City of Winnipeg, the Applicants and DD West LLP.

If you have questions regarding the contents of this report, please contact Mike Stevens at 204.947.7145 or <u>mike.stevens@exg.ca</u>.

Mike Stevens | CPA | CA•IT | CFE Partner

June 30, 2019

Exchange Group 1-554 St. Mary's Road Winnipeg, Canada R2M 3L5 www.exg.ca



CHARTERED PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTANTS

2

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independence Statement	2
Terms of Reference	4
Limitations	4
Scope of Documentation Reviewed	5

Financial Statements Analysis

в.	Balance Sheets Analysis (Schedule 1) 15
с.	Accumulated Surplus Analysis (Schedule 2) 16
D.	Income Statement Analysis (Schedule 3) 18
E.	Capital Purchases Deficit and Debt Analysis (Schedule 4)
F.	Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Project Analysis (Schedule 5)

Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis

G. Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis (Schedule 6)		. 27	
н.	Capital Forecasts 2020 to 2024 Analysis (Schedule 7)	29	

Hemson Technical Report

١.	Hemson Technical Rep	port Population and Housing	Levels Forecasts 31
----	----------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------

Impact Fees and Reserve Fund

J.	Impact Fees Reserve Fund 36
к.	Hemson Technical Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues (Schedule 8)

Maximum Debt Policy

L.	City of Winnipeg Maximum Debt Policy	40)
----	--------------------------------------	----	---

M	. About Exchange Group	42
	Mike Stevens - Resume	
о.	Tabs	45



Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

Terms of Reference

Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP ("EXG", "we", "us" or "our") was engaged by DD West LLP, solicitor for the City of Winnipeg, ("City"), to prepare an expert report on the Global Financial Analysis of the City of Winnipeg relating to implementing the 2016 Hemson Determination of Regulatory Fees to Finance Growth: Technical Report's Impact Fees.

Subject to the Limitations section, our Report may be used for expert witness evidence in the negotiation, litigation, or settlement.

Limitations

This Report is not intended for general circulation or publication, nor is it to be reproduced, referred to, or used for any other purpose other than as outlined herein without Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP written consent. We assume no responsibility or liability for losses occasioned by any party because of any unauthorized circulation, publication, or use of this Report.

reserve the right, but are not under any obligation, to review all calculations included or referred to within the Report, and if deemed appropriate, to revise our comments and calculations because of any information existing at the Report Date which becomes known to us after the date of the Report.

Our analysis must be considered in its entirety. Selecting portions of the analysis, without consideration of the impact of any portion on the overall analysis and calculations may create a misleading view of the methods, approaches, and assumptions.



Scope of Documentation Reviewed

In preparation of this report, besides interviewing City personnel to gather information, EXG relied on published and unpublished documents' information including:

Do	ocument	Source
1.	City's Audited Financial Statements from 2001 to 2018	https://www.winnipeg.ca/finance/documents_page.stm
2.	City's Detailed Financial Statements Reports from 2001 to 2018	https://www.winnipeg.ca/finance/documents_page.stm
3.	City's Annual Financial Reports from 2001 to 2018	https://www.winnipeg.ca/finance/documents_page.stm
4.	City's Capital Budget from 2001 to 2019	https://www.winnipeg.ca/finance/documents_page.stm# Budgets
5.	City's 1999 State of the Infrastructure Report	Tab 1
6.	City's 2003 CAO Secretariat Infrastructure Deficit Report	Tab 2
7.	City's 2009 Infrastructure Deficit Council Seminar Presentation	https://www.winnipeg.ca/finance/pdfs/ipd/CitySpending OnInfrastructure.pdf
8.	City's 2018 State of the Infrastructure Report	https://winnipeg.ca/infrastructure/pdfs/State-of- Infrastructure-Report-2018.pdf
9.	City's 2019 Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detail Report	https://winnipeg.ca/infrastructure/pdfs/2019_CW- Unfunded-Major-Capital-Projects.pdf
10.	Statistics Canada 2016 Census	https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census- recensement/2016/dp- pd/prof/details/page.cfm?Lang=E&Geo1=CSD&Geo2=PR &Code2=01&Data=Count&SearchType=Begins&SearchPR =01&B1=All&Code1=4611040

11. Applicants and City Personnel Affidavits

12. Hemson Determination of Regulatory Fees to Finance Growth: Technical Report

13. Hemson Review of Municipal Growth Mechanism Report

14. Hemson 2016 Presentations



Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

EXG has relied on the accuracy of this information to prepare all calculations and conclusions.

EXG used the financial information in the documents without adjusting the financial numbers for inflation or cost of money.



A. Executive Summary – EXG Overall Findings

Report Purpose

- This report provides a summary of the City's past and current financial position. The Report reviews the effect of the Operating and Capital Revenue to fund Operating Expenses and Capital Acquisitions / Obligations.
- This report reviews the August 31, 2016 Hemson Report ("Hemson Technical Report") and its calculation of potential future Impact Fees and the revenue which contributes to the City's total future Capital Revenue compared to the City's current funded and unfunded Capital costs.
- This report reviews the effect of the Impact Fee and the revenues generated from 2016 to 2018 on the entire financial position of the City.
- 4. The Report has reviewed the City's audited financial statements for the 18-year period 2001 to 2018.

Capital Budgets and Capital Spending

- 5. For the years 2001 to 2018, the City:
 - Approved Capital Budgets totaling \$8,060,400,000 for Capital Acquisitions / Obligations (Sch. 5 Line 29).
 - b. Spent \$6,502,200,000 on Capital Acquisitions / Obligations (Sch. 5 Line 32).
 - c. Has Outstanding Backlog of approved Capital Budgets of \$1,558,200,000 (Sch. 5 Line 34).
- 6. **Other Levels of Government:** For the majority of years after 2009, the City relied on a greater portion of the sources of financing for Capital Budgets to be provided by Other Levels of Government.
- Capital Revenue: From 2011 to 2015, the City did <u>not generate sufficient</u> Capital Revenue to fund the Capital Purchases as the Property Taxes available for Capital decreased as a percentage of the total Property Taxes Revenue (Sch. 4 Line 26).
- Increasing Debt: There was a correlation between the Net Capital Funds deficit that occurred between 2011 to 2015 resulting in a substantial increase in Long-Term Debt needed to fund the Capital Purchases (Sch. 4 Line 30).



Capital Forecasts

- New Debt: The City is forecasting an additional \$141,000,000 of New Debt to be incurred from 2020 to 2024 (Sch. 7 Line 57).
- The Capital Budget Forecasts do not address how the City will fund the past Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets and the 2018 Unfunded Capital Projects.

Unfunded Capital Projects and Operating Expense

- 11. Unfunded Capital Projects: Every number of years, the City undertakes a review to determine its Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects over the next 10 years.
 - a. In 2018, the City released its State of the Infrastructure Report that reported an Infrastructure Deficit of \$6,883,000,000 (page 21 of the report).
 - In 2019, the City released its Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detail Report that identified the Capital Projects for the next 10 years totaling \$4,906,000,000 (page 14 of the report) which plans to partially address the Infrastructure Deficit of \$6,883,000,000. These Unfunded Projects are not in the City's approved Capital Budgets based on the City's position there are not sufficient future Capital Revenue available to pay for the projects.
 - c. In the 2019 Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detail Report, the City identified that the North End Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades are estimated at \$1,789,000,000 (page 14 of the report) which is \$1,137,600,000 higher than the original 2016 Capital Budget of \$643,400,000 (page 24 of 2016 Capital Budget). Since this project is in the 2016 Capital Budget, the City has not included this project costs in the 2019 Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detail Report.
 - d. This \$1,137,600,000 North End Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades increased cost determined in 2019 means that the 2018 State of the Infrastructure Report is understated.
- 12. Unfunded Operating Expenses: The City's 2018 Accumulated Surplus reflects that the City has not accumulated funds for \$295,500,000 of expenses (Sch. 2 Line 21). This represents expenses (and associated accrued liability) that the City owes but does not have the cash assets available to fund.



Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

13. Summary: The following table summarizes the City's total unfunded amount of \$9,874,300,000 related to the Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets, the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects, increase costs in North End Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades and the Unfunded Operating expenses:

City's Unfunded Amounts	Totals	Source
Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets	1,558,200,000	Schedule 5
Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects	6,883,000,000	2018 State of the Infrastructure Report
North End Sewage Treatment Plant Increased Costs	1,137,600,000	2019 Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detai Report increase over 2016 Capital Budget
Total Capital-Related	9,578,800,000	
Unfunded Operating Expenses	295,500,000	2018 Auditied Financial Statements
Total City Unfunded	\$ 9,874,300,000	

14. Future Inflation and Construction Costs: It is important to note that this current \$9,874,300,000 underfunded amount could significantly increase over the next number of years based on unknown future inflation rates and specifically increases in construction costs.

Property Tax Revenues

- 15. **Operations Requirements:** The portion of the total Property Taxes required for <u>Operations</u> increased during the years **2014 to 2016 (60% 62%** of total Property Taxes) compared to earlier years (Sch. 3 Line 37). One explanation for this increase is that the City has not increased Property Taxes at the same rate as inflation resulting in Operations expenses increasing at a higher rate.
- 16. Available for Capital: The portion of Property Taxes <u>Available for Capital</u> decreased since mid-2000s (38% in 2014 of total Property Taxes compared to as high as 65% in 2008) (Sch. 3 Line 75). This is one reason the City has incurred more Long-Term Debt to finance Capital Acquisitions / Obligations.



Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

Long-Term Debt

Current Debt

- 17. Since 2006, City-wide Long-Term Debt has increased by \$669,000,000 (Sch. 4 Line 32).
- 18. At 2018, the City's total Long-Term Debt is \$1,083,700,000 (Sch. 4 Line 30).

City Maximum Debt Policy (Section L)

- City's Maximum Debt Strategy Policy: Based on the City's Maximum Debt Strategy Policy, EXG calculated the City's potential <u>additional debt</u> allowed to be \$1,380,500,000 based on the Debt as a % of Revenue metric.
- 20. If the City incurred this additional debt for acquiring Capital Assets, this would cause a total debt load of \$2,464,200,000:

Long-Term Debt	2018	Source
Current Debt	1,083,700,000	Sch. 4 Line
Potential for Additional Debt	1,380,500,000	Section L
Maximum per City's Debt Strategy Policy	\$ 2,464,200,000	

21. It is unknown if the City could raise this level of debt or how that would affect the City's credit rating which impacts interest rates charged by financial institution. Further, higher debt obligation payments would be needed.



Accumulated Surplus / Cash Reserves

- The City's 2018 audited financial statements reflect that the City has an Accumulated Surplus of \$6,330,700,000 (Sch. 2 Line 24).
- 23. The majority of this Accumulated Surplus (92%) is represented by non-liquid Capital Assets (Sch. 2 Line
 5). These non-liquid assets represent capital obligations such as roads, drainage, sewer and water, buildings, etc., the majority of which are non-saleable.
- 24. The City has **\$168,600,000** (3%) available for future Capital Acquisitions / Obligations in the Accumulated Surplus as outlined in the following table:

Reserve Surpluses	2018
Capital	168,600,000
Stabilization	111,000,000
Special Purpose	69,000,000
Total Reserve Fund Surplus	348,600,000 (Sch. 2 Line 8

Updates to the 2016 Hemson Technical Report (Section I)

- 25. Based on new statistics available, EXG updated the population and housing forecasts from the Hemson Technical Report for the years 2017 to 2026 as follow:
 - a. The City's population is estimated to increase by 13,081 (15.1%) higher than what was used as the basis on the 2016 Hemson Technical Report.
 - b. The City's total dwellings are estimated to increase by 1,548 (3.7%) higher than what was used as the basis on the 2016 Hemson Technical Report.
 - c. The City's population in new households will increase by 2,212 (2.1%) higher than what was used as the basis on the 2016 Hemson Technical Report.
- 26. If this re-forecast occurs, then the City's costs would increase as would Property Taxes and Impact Fee Revenues also be expected to increase to support the higher numbers.



Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

Impact Fees

- 27. If the Impact Fee was fully implemented in 2017, and forecasted population and housing statistics were met, the City would generate **\$982,200,000** in additional Capital Revenue over the 10-year period (Sch. 8 Line 11).
- Since implementation of the Impact Fee, actual fees collected in 2017 and 2018 were \$16,500,000 (36% of the total expected Impact Fees or \$29,700,000 less than forecasted by the Hemson Technical report) (Sch. 8 Lines 34 and 35).

Summary

29. Based on the City's current financial position and future Impact Fees, the following table summarizes the magnitude of the City's Unfunded Deficit:

Unfunded Deficit	Totals	
Current	1	
Cash - Capital Reserve Fund	\$ 168,600,000	2%
Unfunded Expenditure Amounts		-
Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets	1,558,200,000	16%
Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects	6,883,000,000	70%
North End Sewage Treatment Plant Increased Costs	1,137,600,000	12%
Unfunded Operating Expenses	295,500,000	3%
Total City Unfunded Amounts	9,874,300,000	100%
Current Net Unfunded Deficit	(9,705,700,000)	
Other Funding Options		
Additional Long-Term Debt	1,380,500,000	14%
Future Impact Fees	982,200,000	10%
Total Funding Options	2,362,700,000	
Continuing Net Unfunded Deficit	(7,343,000,000)	

30. The above Summary may be positively or negatively affected by the City's future Operation's financial results and other sources of Capital revenue contributing to Capital Reserve Fund which is unknown at this time.



Hemson Technical Report

- 31. Hemson Technical Report: The purpose of the 2016 Hemson Technical Report was to assist the City to:
 - a. Determine the forecasted costs of Capital Projects that are applicable to City growth.
 - b. Forecast the level of the City's population and dwelling starts over the next 10 years.
 - c. Develop a model of Impact Fees only applicable to the City's growth.
 - Estimate the total forecasted Impact Fees revenues by development type for the next 10 years.
- 32. EXG Report: This report provides a high-level summary determining:
 - a. If the City's past and current financial results generate sufficient Operating and Capital Revenue to Fund Operating Expenses and Capital Acquisitions / Obligations.
 - b. How the 2016 Hemson Technical Report's calculated potential future Impact Fees revenue contribute to the City's total future Capital funding compared to the City's current funded and unfunded Capital costs.

Conclusions

- 33. Insufficient Current Revenues: The City's Property Taxes Revenue and all other sources of revenues have not met the 2001 to 2018 total Operations Costs, Capital Purchases and all the amounts Budgeted for Capital Acquisitions / Obligations.
- 34. Unfunded Capital Projects: The City's Unfunded Capital Projects are not planned to be funded in the City's Forecasted Budgets.
- 35. Past Debt Impact on Future Cashflow: Debt payments on existing debt will negatively impact the City's cash flow ability, while at the same time, the City is looking to address its Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects. The City's overall current revenue cannot satisfy past debt and Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects.
- 36. Impact Fees: The Impact Fees forecasted for the next 10 years in the 2016 Hemson Technical Report represent only 10% of the City's Total Unfunded Deficit.



City of Winnipeg Financial Statements Analysis



B. Balance Sheets Analysis (Schedule 1)

- 37. The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's audited Balance Sheets for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 38. Refer to Schedule 1: Balance Sheets Analysis in the Report Schedules document.

EXG Findings

- 39. From 2001 to 2005, City-wide Long-Term Debt decreased by \$411,400,000 (Sch. 4 Line 32).
- 40. Since 2006 to 2018, City-wide debt has increased \$669,000,000 (Sch. 4 Line 32).
- 41. Cash and Short-Term Investments have remained relatively constant.
- 42. Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payables have increased as expected based on increasing financial volume of the City.
- 43. Net Financial Position: Prior to 2011, the City had a positive Net Financial Assets position which changed in 2011 to a negative Net Financial Liabilities position which has continued to 2018, where the City has a \$678,900,000 negative Net Financial Liabilities position (Sch. 1 Line 25). While the total of Long-Term Debt could be matched against Capital Assets, it is important to note that even without that matching, from 2004 to 2010 the City had a positive Net Financial Assets position (Sch. 1 Line 25).



C. Accumulated Surplus Analysis (Schedule 2)

- 44. The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's audited Financial Statements Notes relating the Balance Sheet Accumulated Surplus for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 45. Refer to Schedule 2: Accumulated Surplus Analysis in the accompanying Reports Schedule document.

EXG Findings

- 46. The City's 2018 audited Balance Sheet reflects a \$6,330,700,000 Accumulated Surplus (Sch. 2 Line 24).
- 47. Tangible Capital Assets: The majority of the Accumulated Surplus is represented by the City's fixed Investment in Tangible Capital Assets totaling \$5,836,600,000 or 92% of the entire Accumulated Surplus.
 - a. These are <u>non-liquid assets</u> representing capital obligations such as roads, drainage, sewer and water, buildings, etc., the majority of which are non-saleable.
 - b. As reflected in the 2018 Balance Sheet, the City has \$6,983,300,000 of Tangible Capital Assets (Sch. 1 Line 28) and with the majority of the Long-Term Debt totaling \$1,083,700,000 (Sch. 1 Line 19) closely nets to this Accumulated Surplus amount.
 - c. This portion of the Accumulated Surplus has grown since 2005 (Sch. 2 Line 5).
 - d. In 2006, the City adopted and began reporting their audited financial statements in accordance with the required Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) Section 3150 guidelines that requires municipalities to account for all their tangible capital assets and amortize them in their financial statements. This guideline places a greater focus on assets and their condition based on the level of amortization and write-offs when an asset reaches the end of its useful life. Prior to the implementation of PSAB, municipalities could capitalize assets that were financed through debt or could be expensed without capitalization which did not provide the reader of a financial statement the information on the net present value assigned to a capital asset.

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

- 48. Cash Reserves: The percentage of Accumulated Surplus relating to the City's Cash Reserves and Operating Companies' Retained Earnings has steadily declined since 2005 (13%) to 2018 (9%) (Sch. 2 Line 12):
 - a. These are liquid (cash) assets at \$569,400,000 (Sch. 2 Line 11).
 - b. These are Reserves the City has designated for future uses.
 - c. As per the 2018 Annual Report (page 35), the purpose of each Reserve:

Capital Reserves finance current and anticipated future capital projects, thereby reducing or eliminating the need to issue debt.

Financial Stabilization Reserve assists in the funding of major unexpected expenses or revenue deficits reported in the General Revenue Fund. Special Purpose Reserves provide designated revenue to fund the reserves'

authorized costs.

d. The following table summarizes the Reserves Surpluses at December 31, 2018:

Reserve Surpluses	2018		
Capital	168,600,000		
Stabilization	111,000,000		
Special Purpose	69,000,000		
Total Reserve Fund Surplus	348,600,000	Sch. 2 Line 8	

- e. Capital Reserve Fund: As outlined above, the City has \$168,600,000 set aside for future Capital Acquisitions / Obligations.
- 49. Unfunded Expenses: The City's 2018 Accumulated Surplus reflects the City has not accumulated funds for \$295,500,000 of expenses that net against the Accumulated Surplus (Sch. 2 Line 21):

Unfunded Expenses	2018
Accrued employee benefits and other	226,800,000
Landfill	50,200,000
Contaminated sites	13,700,000
Canadian Museum for Human Rights grant	4,800,000
Fotal Unfunded Expenses	295,500,000

- a. These represents expenses (and associated accrued liability) that the City owes but does not have the cash assets available to fund.
- b. EXG has reflected this funding requirement as part of the City's total underfunding required in addition to the Unfunded Capital Projects.



D. Income Statement Analysis (Schedule 3)

- 50. The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's audited Income Statements for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 51. Refer to Schedule 3: Income Statement Analysis for both a High-Level Summary and Detailed analysis in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

- 52. To present an analysis that outlines the amounts of revenues generated and related expenses, EXG has categorized the City's audited financial statements' revenue and expenses into two major categories:
 - **Operations** All financial revenues identified as Operations revenue (including a portion of the Property Tax) less expenses relating to the operation and administration of the City's business resulting in a net amount to be accumulated each year for the Financial Stabilization Reserve.
 - Capital Specifically identified capital revenue, the balance of the Property Tax revenue (not required for Operations) less capital related costs.

EXG Findings

Operations

Property Tax Revenue – Operations – Increasing Percentage Required

- 53. EXG allocated a portion of Property Taxes Revenue required to support Operations.
- 54. Amounts paid to the Province and Schools are deducted resulting in the Net Property Taxes Operations amounts for each year (Sch. 3 Line 36).
- 55. The percentage of the total Property Taxes required for <u>Operations</u> increased during the years 2014 to 2016 (60% 62% of total Property Taxes) compared to earlier years (Sch. 3 Line 12).



Other Operations Revenues - Increasing

56. Since 2007 (when the population of Winnipeg began its accelerated population growth), the total of all Other Operations Revenues has increased from \$641,000,000 in 2006 to \$1,031,800,000 (Sch. 3 Line 45) in 2018 (61% increase).

Operations Expenses

- 57. Expenses relate to various Operations departments.
- 58. To remove the Capital-related costs from the Operations departments expenses, EXG subtracted the costs for Amortization, Interest on Debt and estimated adjustments for years 2001 to 2004 introduction of PSAB in 2005 (Sch. 3 Lines 57 to 60).

Contribution to Stabilization Fund

- 59. To determine the total Property Taxes to support all Operations expense, EXG has used a percentage of total Property Taxes revenue as the minimum amount the City would set aside for ongoing funding of the Financial Stabilization Reserve (Sch. 3 Line 64).
- Based on this assumption for the analysis, the total of annual amounts calculates to \$110,600,000 consistent with the City's Financial Stabilization Reserve is \$111,000,000 (2018 Annual Report page 87).

Capital

Property Tax Revenue – Available for Capital - Decreasing

- The percentage of Property Taxes Available for Capital has decreased significantly since mid-2000s
 (38% in 2014 of total Property Taxes compared to as high as 65% in 2008) (Sch. 3 Line 75).
- 62. One explanation for this decline is the City has not increased Property Taxes at the same rate as inflation resulting in Operation expense increasing at a higher rate and requiring a greater portion of Property Tax revenue.



Other Government Transfers - Capital - Increasing

63. Since 2007 (when the population of Winnipeg began its accelerated population growth), the total of all Other Government Transfers related to Capital has increased from \$43,100,000 in 2006 to \$181,800,000 in 2018 (322% increase) (Sch. 3 Line 80).

Impact Fee Revenue - New

 EXG has highlighted the amounts the City has collected on Impact Fees for 2017 and 2018 (sch. 3 Line 83).

Developer Contributions-in-Kind

65. The audited financial statements list amounts where the City has acquired Capital Assets that are provided by a Developer in-kind as part of a Development Agreement (Sch. 3 Line 84).

Total Capital Related Revenue

66. Since 2007 (when the population of Winnipeg began its accelerated population growth), while the percentage of Property Taxes - Available for Capital has decreased significantly, Other Governments' funding has increased substantially resulting in nearly double the revenue available to support Capital Acquisitions / Obligations (\$286,200,000 in 2006 to \$596,500,000 in 2018) (Sch. 3 Line 86).

Amortization and Interest

67. In order to provide a reconciliation to the annual audited financial statements' Net Surpluses, this section of Schedule 3 reflects the amounts recorded for Amortization and Interest (Sch. 3 Line 89).

Financial Statements Net Surpluses

68. The total of transactions in Schedule 3 results in the Financial Statements' Net Surpluses that reconcile to the City's audited financial statements (2001 to 2004 amounts are different as they were prepared under Pre-PSAB accounting standards) (Sch. 3 Line 96).



E. Capital Purchases Deficit and Debt Analysis (Schedule 4)

- 69. The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's Capital Purchases and Debt for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 70. Refer to Schedule 4: Capital Purchases Deficit and Debt Analysis in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

- 71. This Schedule outlines the funds available for Capital and amounts of Capital Purchases made by the City and the resulting increase in Long-Term Debt the City incurred to finance the Capital Purchases.
- 72. To assist the reader, EXG brought forward the information in the Capital section of Schedule 3: Income Statement Analysis.

EXG Findings

Capital Purchases

- 73. The Capital Assets acquired from 2011 to 2015 substantially increased from prior years (Sch. 4 Line 21).
- 74. For the years 2001 to 2018, the City spent \$6,502,200,000 on Capital Purchases.
- 75. Note: Due to the City's implementation of PSAB in 2005, EXG estimated / normalized Capital Acquisition / Obligations amounts from 2001 to 2004 based on the relative prorated change made in 2005 restated financial statements. This adjustment increased Capital Acquisitions / Obligations (that were previously expensed in the Income Statement) resulting in a reduction of overall operating expenses for the applicable years.



Net Funds After Capital Purchases (Sch. 4 Line 26)

- 76. From 2001 to 2010, the City generated <u>sufficient</u> Capital Revenue for Capital Purchases incurred resulting in a positive Net Funds After Capital Purchases position.
- 77. From **2011 through 2015**, the City <u>spent more</u> on Capital Purchases than the Capital Revenue available. This correlated with the reduction of portion of Property Taxes available for Capital and increasing the Debt load during that period.
- 78. For 2016 and 2017, the City <u>reduced</u> the amounts of Capital Purchases to be more in line with the Capital Revenue available (see Schedule 5 for how this impacted the Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Projects).

Debt

- 79. EXG has provided the Long-Term Debt levels and analysis to highlight (Sch. 4 Line 32):
 - a. From 2001 to 2005, City-wide Long-Term Debt decreased by \$411,400,000.
 - b. From 2005 to 2018, City-wide debt has increased \$669,000,000.

EXG Findings

- 80. From 2011 to 2015, the City did <u>not generate sufficient</u> Capital Revenue to fund the actual Capital Purchases (as the amount of Property Taxes available for Capital decreased as a percentage of the total Property Taxes Revenue).
- 81. There was a correlation between the Net Capital Funds deficit that occurred between 2011 to 2015 resulting in a substantial increase in Long-Term Debt needed to fund Capital Purchases.
- 82. From **2016 to 2018**, the City reduced Capital Purchases to more closely match the Capital Revenue generated resulting in the City stabilizing the Long-Term Debt.



F. Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Project Analysis (Schedule 5)

- The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Project for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 84. Refer to Schedule 5: Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Project Analysis in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

- 85. The Schedule outlines funds available for Capital Purchases made by the City compared to the Outstanding Backlog of the City's Adopted Capital Budgets and Unfunded Capital Projects.
- To assist the reader, EXG has brought forward the information contained in the Capital section of Schedule 4: Capital Purchases Deficit and Debt Analysis.

EXG Findings

Capital Actual to Budget

- 87. Budgeted Capital:
 - a. The City adopts (approves) a Capital Budget annually for the Capital Purchases planned to be made in the budget year. EXG listed the total amounts in each years' Capital Budget in the Schedule 6 (Line 35).
 - b. Since the City does not include Developer-in-Kind Contributions in their Capital Budgets, EXG added the amounts from the audited financial statements to properly compare these amounts to the actual Capital Purchases made by the City in the budget year (Sch. 5 Line 28).
 - c. The City's Capital Budget policy is to include Capital Projects amounts, and if the budgeted project is not started or completed in the applicable budget year, the remaining balance is not included in subsequent Capital Budgets. Instead, the Capital Purchase spending continues forward to subsequent fiscal year(s) as it was already approved in a prior year's Capital Budget. The annual Capital Budgets accumulate with no repeat budgeting for prior years' projects not started or completed since they were previously approved. Once



approved, the project can be undertaken in subsequent years without another approval process.

d. EXG accumulated the Capital Budgets from **2001 to 2018** totaling **\$8,060,400,000** to compare to the accumulation of actual Capital Purchases the City incurred (Sch. 5 Line 29).

88. Capital Acquisitions / Obligations:

 a. EXG accumulated the Capital Purchases from 2001 to 2018 totaling \$6,502,200,000 to compare to the accumulating Capital Budgets (Sch. 5 Line 32).

89. Outstanding Backlog Adopted Capital Budgets:

 a. From 2001 to 2018, the City has an Outstanding Backlog of Adopted Capital Budgets of \$1,558,200,000 (Sch. 5 Line 34).

90. Unfunded Capital Projects:

- a. Every number of years, the City undertakes a review to determine its Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects for the next 10 years.
- b. The projects and amounts determined in these reviews represent projects that are not included in the City's Adopted Capital Budgets based on the City's position there is not sufficient future Capital Revenue including Property Taxes – Available for Capital or other sources of funding available to pay for the projects.
- c. The following are the reports available for the years that the City prepared these reviews and the identified amounts of the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects:

	Total Unfunded		
Unfunded Reports	Total Over 10 Years	Annual	Source
1998 State of the Infrastructure Report	817,000,000	81,700,000	Exhibit 1
2003 Infrastructure Deficit Report	1,830,000,000	183,000,000	Exhibit 2
2009 Infrastructure Deficit Presentation	7,400,000,000	740,000,000	https://www.winnipeg.ca/financ e/pdfs/ipd/CitySpendingOnInfras
2018 State of the Infrastructure Report	6,883,000,000	688,300,000	https://winnipeg.ca/infrastructur e/pdfs/State-of-Infrastructure-
2019 Unfunded Major Capital Projects Detail Report	4,906,000,000	490,600,000	https://winnipeg.ca/infrastructur e/pdfs/2019_CW-Unfunded-



- d. In 2018, based on the City's population of 753,700, the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects calculates to \$9,132 per capita.
- e. In 2016, the City budgeted \$643,400,000 for the North End Sewage Plant. At the date of this report, the project has not yet begun. The project was included in the Capital Budget, and therefore not included in the 2018 Unfunded Capital Projects amounts. The 2018 forecasted cost has increased to \$1,789,000,000 (page 14 of the report).

Net Funds After Capital Budgets

- 91. Had the City made all the Capital Purchases included in the Capital Budgets:
 - a. The City would have a Capital Funding Shortfall for every year from 2005 to 2016 (as noted earlier, the City substantially reduced their Capital Budget for 2017 and 2018).
 - Based on the City's past and current Capital Revenue generated, the City would have incurred an additional \$1,126,500,000 in debt to complete all Capital Budgets from 2001 to 2018 (Sch. 5 Line 37).

Additional Capital Required

- 92. To analyze the effect of the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects amounts, EXG added these amounts to the Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets for the years 2001 to 2018.
- 93. By adding the total of both the Outstanding Backlog of Capital Budgets and the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects, EXG has calculated that as at 2018, the City has \$8,441,200,000 of Capital Projects that the City has identified as needed and which the City has not had in the past or current Capital Revenue and / or other sources of funding to complete (Scin 5 Line 45).

Total Capital Funding Shortfall

- 94. Except for 2001, the City had <u>Total Capital Funding Shortfall</u> for every year from 2002 to 2018 (Sch. 5 Line 47).
- 95. The Total Capital Funding Shortfall grew significantly beginning in 2009 as the magnitude of the Infrastructure Deficit / Unfunded Capital Projects became larger.
- 96. As at 2018, the City has \$8,441,200,000 of Capital Projects identified as needed which the City has not had Capital Revenue and / or other sources of funding to complete (Sch. 5 Line 45).



Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis



G. Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis (Schedule 6)

- The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's Adopted Capital Budgets for the years 2001 to 2019.
- 98. Refer to Schedule 6: Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

- 99. This Schedule outlines the Capital Budgets adopted by the City and the Sources of Financing the City had identified to pay for the related Capital Purchases. EXG summarized the Capital Budgets for this report purposes.
- 100. EXG has organized the schedule into the same categories as the Hemson Technical report.

EXG Findings

Capital Budget

- 101. For each five-year interval identified in the Schedule, the total Capital Budget amounts in each interval period increased (Sch. 6 Line 37).
- 102. For 2017 to 2019, the City reduced their Capital Budgets.
- 103. The majority of the Capital Budgets departments are part of the Hemson Technical Report's costs relating to the Impact Fee (on average 90% of the total Capital Budget amounts over the years) (Sch. 6 Line 22).

Sources of Financing Budget

- 104. Cash to Capital / Frontage Levies: For 2018 and 2019, the City budgeted <u>significantly lower</u> Operating Cash to Capital / Frontage Levies to contribute to the financing of Capital Purchases (Sch. 6 Line 41).
- 105. Other Levels of Government: For the majority of years after 2009, the City has relied on a greater portion of the sources of financing to be provided by Other Levels of Government (in some years up to 37% of the total sources of financing required) (Sch. 6 Line 45).



- 106. Developers: Amounts the City has budgeted for Capital Assets that are reimbursed by Developers as part of the Development Agreements (Sch. 6 Line 48).
- 107. Public Private Partnerships: The City has had an increasing amount of Public Private Partnerships repayments since 2012 requiring the City itself to increase the cashflow payments required for the total sources of funding (Sch. 6 Line 49).
- 108. New Debt: The City requirements for New Debt as a portion of all sources of funding have increased since 2006 (5ch. 6 Line 52),



H. Capital Forecasts 2020 to 2024 Analysis (Schedule 7)

- 109. The following are EXG's findings and analysis of the City's Capital Forecasts for the years 2020 to 2024.
- 110. Refer to Schedule 7: Capital Forecasts 2020 to 2024 Analysis in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

- 111. This Schedule outlines the Capital Forecasts for the years 2020 to 2024 in the 2019 Capital Budget and the Sources of Financing the City has identified to pay for the related Capital Purchases. EXG summarized the Capital Forecasts for this report purposes.
- 112. EXG has organized the schedule into the same categories as the Hemson Technical Report.
- 113. To assist the reader, EXG has brought forward the 2016 to 2019 years' information in Schedule 6: Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis.

Capital 2020 to 2024 Forecasts

EXG Findings

- 114. Forecasted Amounts: The City is forecasting consistent Capital total amounts as 2018 and 2019 (Sch. 7 Line 35).
- 115. Cash to Capital / Frontage Levies: The City is forecasting more of the City's operation's Cash to Capital amounts to be available as a source of financing. This will include the Impact Fees collected (see Schedule 8 for the Hemson Technical forecasted Impact Fees) (Sch. 7 Line 41).
- 116. Other Levels of Government: The City is forecasting fewer sources of financing to be provided by Other Levels of Government (down to 12% by 2024) (Sch. 7 Line 45).
- 117. New Debt: The City is forecasting an additional \$141,000,000 of New Debt to be incurred from 2020 to 2024 though it is a lower percentage of the overall sources of financing (5% by 2023) (Sch. 7 Line S7).
- 118. Unfunded Capital Projects: The 2019 Capital Budget forecast does not address how the City will fund the Unfunded Capital Projects.



Hemson Technical Report Population and Housing Levels Forecasts



I. Hemson Technical Report Population and Housing Levels Forecasts

A. Hemson Technical Report's Population Forecasts

119. EXG compared the Hemson Technical Report's 2016 Population Forecasts which were based on 2011 Statistics Canada Census to the subsequent 2016 Statistics Canada Census and the City of Winnipeg population statistics found the City's Detailed Financial Statements Report to determine if there was a difference.

EXG Findings

- 120. As outlined in the table on the next page, the City's 2016 population estimate is 726,100 whereas the Hemson Technical Report estimated it to be 711,494, a 2.1% lower population (14,606).
- 121. From 2017 to 2019, the City's Detailed Financial Statements Report listed these higher populations:

Year	City	Hemson
2017	741,700	719,908
2018	753,700	728,422
2019	762,614	737,037



122. Using the 2019 City population, EXG extrapolated the remaining years based on the Hemson Technical Report long-term annual growth percentage:

		Hemson 2016 Report			EXG Estimated Re-Forecast			
Year	Population Forecast	Annual Growth	%	Population Forecast	Annual Growth	% Change	Increased Forecast	? Chang
2016	711,494			726,100			14,606	2.19
2017	719,908	8,414	1.2%	741,700	15,600	2.1%	21,792	3.0
2018	728,422	8,514	1.2%	753,700	12,000	1.6%	25,278	3.5
2019	737,037	8,615	1.2%	762,614	8,914	1.2%	25,577	3.5
2020	745,753	8,716	1.2%	771,632	9,018	1.2%	25,879	3.5
2021	754,573	8,820	1.2%	780,759	9,126	1.2%	26,186	3.5
2022	763,036	8,463	1.1%	789,515	8,757	1.1%	26,479	3.59
2023	771,594	8,558	1.1%	798,370	8,855	1.1%	26,776	3.5%
2024	780,248	8,654	1.1%	807,324	8,954	1.1%	27,076	3.59
2025	788,999	8,751	1.1%	816,379	9,055	1.1%	27,380	3.55
2026	797,848	8,849	1.1%	825,535	9,156	1.1%	27,687	3.55
2027	805,889	8,041	1.0%	833,855	8,320	1.0%	27,966	3.5
2028	814,011	8,122	1.0%	842,259	8,404	1.0%	28,248	3.5
2029	822,215	8,204	1.0%	850,748	8,489	1.0%	28,533	3.59
2030	830,501	8,286	1.0%	859,321	8,574	1.0%	28,820	3.55
2031	838,871	8,370	1.0%	867,982	8,660	1.0%	29,111	3.5
2032	846,165	7,294	0.9%	875,529	7,547	0.9%	29,364	3.5%
2033	853,522	7,357	0.9%	883,141	7,612	0.9%	29,619	3.5
2034	860,943	7,421	0.9%	890,820	7,679	0.9%	29,877	3.59
2035	868,428	7,485	0.9%	898,565	7,745	0.9%	30,137	3.5%
2036	875,978	7,550	0.9%	906,377	7,812	0.9%	30,399	3.5%
2037	882,670	6,692	0.8%	913,301	6,924	0.8%	30,631	3.59
2038	889,413	6,743	0.8%	920,278	6,977	0.8%	30,865	3.59
2039	896,207	6,794	0.8%	927,308	7,030	0.8%	31,101	3.59
2040	903,054	6,847	0.8%	934,392	7,085	0.8%	31,338	3.5%
2041	909,952	6,898	0.8%	941,530	7,137	0.8%	31,578	3.59
2017-2026		86,354			99,435		13,081	15.19
2017-2031		127,377			141,882		14,505	11.49
2017-2041		198,458			215,430		16,972	8.69

Financial Statements Page 71

- 123. From 2017 to 2026, it is re-forecasted to show the City's populations to increase at a rate **15.1%** higher than what was the basis on the 2016 Hemson Technical Report.
- 124. If this re-forecast occurs, City costs are expected to increase to support the higher population.



B. Hemson Technical Report's Housing Forecasts

125. EXG compared the Hemson Technical Report's Housing Forecasts which were based on 2011 Statistics Canada Census to the subsequent 2016 Statistics Canada Census to determine if there was a difference.

EXG Findings

- 126. EXG found that the 2016 Statistics Canada Census listed the City's total dwelling units at 294,245 whereas the Hemson Technical Report estimated it to be 283,850, a 3.7% lower dwelling unit (10,395).
- 127. Using the 2016 Statistics Canada Census total dwelling units, EXG extrapolated the remaining years based on the Hemson Technical Report long-term annual growth percentage:

		Hem 2016 R	2212			EXG		
Year	Singles & Semis	Rows	Aparts	Total Dwelling Unit Growth	%	Re-Forecasted Dwelling Units	Increased Forecast	9 Chang
2016	He	emson Estim	ate Dwellings	283,850	1	294,245 2	2016 Census	
2017	1,826	460	1,913	4,199	1.48%	4,353	154	3.7
2018	1,877	462	1,922	4,261	1.48%	4,417	156	3.75
2019	1,953	460	1,911	4,324	1.48%	4,482	158	3.7
2020	2,001	463	1,924	4,388	1.48%	4,549	161	3.7
2021	2,019	472	1,962	4,453	1.48%	4,616	163	3.7
2022	1,802	431	1,790	4,023	1.32%	4,170	147	3.7
2023	1,790	443	1,843	4,076	1.32%	4,225	149	3.7
2024	1,786	455	1,889	4,130	1.32%	4,281	151	3.7
2025	1,800	463	1,922	4,184	1.32%	4,337	153	3.7
2026	1,796	474	1,970	4,240	1.32%	4,395	155	3.7
2027	1,568	440	1,827	3,834	1.18%	3,974	140	3.75
2028	1,513	459	1,907	3,879	1.18%	4,021	142	3.79
2029	1,488	473	1,964	3,925	1.18%	4,069	144	3.7
2030	1,474	484	2,012	3,971	1.18%	4,116	145	3.7
2031	1,467	495	2,056	4,017	1.18%	4,164	147	3.7
2032	1,327	460	1,911	3,697	1.07%	3,832	135	3.7
2033	1,347	463	1,926	3,736	1.07%	3,873	137	3.7
2034	1,356	469	1,951	3,776	1.07%	3,914	138	3.7
2035	1,373	474	1,970	3,817	1.07%	3,957	140	3.7
2036	1,395	478	1,985	3,858	1.07%	3,999	141	3.7
2037	1,237	428	1,778	3,442	0.94%	3,568	126	3.7
2038	1,241	433	1,800	3,475	0.94%	3,602	127	3.75
2039	1,245	439	1,824	3,508	0.94%	3,636	128	3.7
2040	1,249	445	1,847	3,541	0.94%	3,671	130	3.7
2041	1,261	449	1,865	3,574	0.94%	3,705	131	3.7
2017-2026	18,650	4,583	19,046	42,278		43,826	1,548	3.7
2017-2031	26,160	6,934	28,812	61,904		64,171	2,267	3.7
2017-2041	39,191	11,472	47,669	98,328		101,929	3,601	3.79

1. Hemson Report Page 11, Table 1, 2016 Estimate Residental Dwellings

2. Statistics Canada 2016 Census



CITY OF WINNIPEG

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

- 128. From 2017 to 2026, it is re-forecasted to show the City's total dwellings to increase by **1,548 (3.7%)** higher than what was used as the basis on the 2016 Hemson Technical Report.
- 129. Further, based on the population and total dwellings growth, EXG has calculated the population in new households will increase from 107,740 as outlined in the 2016 Hemson Technical report (Page 44 – Table 7) to 109,952 (2.1%) increase.
- 130. If this re-forecast occurs, City costs, Property Taxes and Impact Fee Revenues would be expected to increase to support the higher number of new dwellings with the corresponding increase of growth costs.



Impact Fees and Reserve Fund



J. Impact Fees Reserve Fund

- 131. On October 26, 2016, the City passed the Impact Fee By-law that allowed for the phased-in implementation of the Impact Fee. During the initial phase, the Impact Fee only applies to new residential developments in New Communities and Emerging Communities as set out in *OurWinnipeg*.
- 132. Collection of impact fees began May 1, 2017.
- 133. As per the City's audited financial statements, the City has collected these amounts that are in the Impact Fee Reserve Fund (2018 Detailed Financial Statements page 123):

2017	\$4,100,000
2018	12,400,000
Total Fund	<u>\$16,500,000</u>

- 134. As per the 2018 audited financial statements, and confirmed by City personnel, no amounts in the Impact Fee Reserve Fund have been spent and no amounts have been budgeted to be spent (2018 Annual Report page 17).
- 135. From 2017 to May 31, 2019, the City has incurred **\$546,870,000** on **365** Capital Projects. The following table lists the Capital Projects costs over \$10,000,000 and summarizes the remainder:

Department	Project Description	Actual Costs
Projects Over \$10,000,000		
Transit	Expansion of Transit Mtnce Fac	45,960,000
Transit	Transit Buses 2018	25,230,000
Sewage Disposal Utility	2017 CSO Bsmt Flood Man Strat	19,730,000
Waterworks Utility	2017 Water Main Renewal Pro	17,900,000
Public Works	Disraeli Bridges-Future Pmnt	17,820,000
Public Works	Disraeli Bridges-Future Pmnt	16,820,000
Transit	Transit Buses 2017	16,430,000
Waterworks Utility	2018 Water Main Renewal Pro	14,500,000
Innovation, Transformation and Technology	Public Safety Radio System	14,180,000
Sewage Disposal Utility	2017 Sewer Renewals	10,130,000
		198,700,000

Projects Under \$10,000,000	
92 Projects between \$1,000,000 to \$9,999,999	294,380,000
263 Projects under \$1,000,000	53,790,000
the second se	348,170,000

TOTAL SPENDING ON CAPITAL PROJECTS FROM 2017 TO MAY 31 2019 546,870,000

136. For a complete listing of all the City's Capital Projects see: <u>https://data.winnipeq.ca/Assessment-Taxation-Corporate/Capital-Expenditures/8xrn-n992/data</u>



Hemson Technical Report Impact Fees K. Forecasted Revenues (Schedule 8)

- 137. The following are EXG's review of the City's Hemson Technical Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues.
- 138. Refer to Schedule 8: Hemson Technical Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues in the Report Schedules document.

Report Format

139. This Schedule summarizes all forecasted Impact Fee Revenue in the Hemson Technical Report.

EXG Findings

140. The following table summarizes the Hemson Technical Report's forecasts:

(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Population in New Units	10,700	10,900	11,100	11,300	11,400	10,300	10,400	10,500	10,600	10,700	107,700
Summary Fees Table											
Residential	57,000	59,200	61,600	63,900	66,100	60,700	62,400	64,300	66,400	68,200	629,800
Office	11,700	8,200	7,500	10,100	7,600	6,500	6,800	7,200	6,600	6,400	78,500
Institutional Charge	11,300	8,100	7,400	10,000	7,400	6,400	6,700	7,000	6,500	6,300	77,000
Commercial / Retall Charge	11,200	7,900	7,200	9,700	7,300	6,200	6,500	6,900	6,300	6,100	75,300
Industrial Charge	18,100	12,700	11,600	15,700	11,700	10,100	10,500	11,100	10,200	9,900	121,600
Total Impact Fees Revenues	109,300	96,100	95,300	109,400	100,100	89,900	92,900	96,500	96,000	96,900	982,200

141. If the Impact Fee was implemented in 2017, and forecasted population and housing statistics were met, the City would generate \$982,200,000 in additional Capital Revenue over the 10-year period (Sch. 8 Line 11).



142. Since the City decided to implement only the Residential Impact Fees and at 50% the recommended rate, the following table summarizes Hemson Technical Report's forecasted Residential Impact Fees and the actual amounts collected by the City for the seven months in 2017 and 12 months in 2018:

(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Residential											
Parks and Open Spaces	900	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,100	10,200
Community Services	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,700	3,400	3,500	3,600	3,700	3,800	35,100
Solid Waste	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	3,000
Public Works	29,200	30,300	31,500	32,700	33,800	31,100	32,000	32,900	34,000	35,000	322,500
Transit	10,500	10,900	11,400	11,800	12,200	11,200	11,500	11,900	12,300	12,600	116,300
Fire & Paramedic Services	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,200	10,700
Police	1,100	1,100	1,200	1,200	1,300	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,300	1,200	12,000
Water	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,500	2,600	2,600	2,700	2,800	25,700
Wastewater	8,500	8,800	9,200	9,600	9,900	9,100	9,300	9,600	9,900	10,200	94,100
	57,000	59,100	61,500	63,800	66,100	60,800	62,500	64,200	66,400	68,200	629,600
50% per Phased-In	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
2017 Protated from May 1, 2017	16,600	29,600	30,800	32,000	33,000	30,400	31,200	32,100	33,200	34,100	314,800
Impact Fee Collected	4,100	12,400	16,500								
Shortfall of Acrtual Collected	(12,500)	(17,200)	(29,700)	2017 and 201	8 Total						

143. Since implementation of the Impact Fee, actual fees collected in 2017 and 2018 were \$16,500,000 (36% of the total expected or \$29,700,000 less than forecasted by the Hemson Technical Report) (Sch. 8 Line 34).



City of Winnipeg Maximum Debt Policy



L. City of Winnipeg Maximum Debt Policy

144. As per the 2018 Annual Report (page 47):

To help manage debt responsibly and transparently, on October 28, 2015, City Council approved an updated debt strategy for the City.

The following table provides the City Council-approved limits; the debt metrics as at December 31, 2018; and the forecasted peak based on the City Council-approved borrowing and the 2019 Capital Budget and Five-Year Forecast.

		As at	Forecasted
Debt Metrics	Maximum	December 31 2018	Peak
Debt as a % of revenue			
City	90.0%	52.5%	77.6%
Tax-supprted and other funds	80.0%	52.4%	65.2%
Utilities and other	220.0%	48.4%	155,4%
Debt-servicing as a % of revenue			
City	11.0%	4.9%	6.8%
Tax-supprted and other funds	10.0%	5.0%	5.5%
Utilities and other	20.0%	4.5%	11.9%
Debt per capita			
City	2,800	1,398	2,319
Tax-supprted and other funds	1,500	878	1,137
Utilities and other	1,500	408	1,385

EXG Findings

- 145. As per the 2018 audited financial statements, the City's Long-Term Debt is \$1,083,700,000 (Sch. 1 line 19).
- 146. Based on the City's Debt Strategy Policy, EXG calculated the City's potential <u>additional debt</u> allowed to be \$1,380,500,000 based on the Debt as a % of revenue metric:

	2018 Revenue	Policy %	Maximum Debt	2018 Total Debt	Additional Debt per Policy
Debt as a % of revenue					
City	1,213,800	90.0%	1,092,400	739,700	352,700
Tax-supprted and other funds	121,100	80.0%	96,900	63,500	33,400
Utilities and other	579,500	220.0%	1,274,900	280,500	994,400
Totals	1,914,400		2,464,200	1,083,700	1,380,500

147. EXG did not have sufficient information available at the time of writing this report to complete the other two Debt Metrics calculations.



Exchange Group



M. About Exchange Group

Exchange Group (EXG) is a professional services organization headquartered in Winnipeg, Manitoba. Founded in 1962, we are proud of our growth as one of the largest combined chartered professional accounting and consulting firms in the province with multiple locations in Manitoba and clients across North America. We are comprised on three operating divisions:



Our office consists of 60+ professionals. Our team members join us from many different cultures, nationalities, religions, orientations and abilities. We believe that supporting our team members' differences and abilities is what allows us to successfully collaborate with clients of many backgrounds.

As appointed auditor, numerous consulting and forensic financial investigations with over 30 Cities, Towns and Provincial Municipalities, we have substantial experience with strong understanding of Municipal operations and reporting.

We provide advice, counsel and service that:

- Is realistic and practical
- Has a positive measurable impact on own clients' business
- Is based on experience
- Is straight and honest

These attributes come from our people, our knowledge of the specific industries, and the in-depth skills we continue to develop.

We are proud of our ability to deliver unique and creative solutions to meet diverse client needs.

Our website at <u>www.exg.ca</u>



CITY OF WINNIPEG

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

N. Mike Stevens - Resume

Education

Chartered Professional Accountant Chartered Accountant 1986 Manitoba Ontario Alberta

Gold Medalist in Auditing

Chartered Accountant Specializing in Information Technology (Canada)

Certified Fraud Examiner

Bachelor of Arts in Applied Economics 1981 University of Manitoba

Mike Stevens | CPA | CA | CFE CEO | Managing Partner

Mike is the CEO | Managing Partner of Exchange Group and President of our Solutions Consulting Division.

Mike has over the past 35 years lead projects for clients throughout North America including Cities, Rural Municipalities, Not-for-Profit Organizations, Foundations, Cultural Organizations, Crown Corporations, Provincial Departments, Public and Private Companies, Health Care Organizations, and Associations.

Mike is both a Chartered Professional Accountant (CA) and Certified Fraud Examiner and has personally led over 50 financial analysis and forensic investigations over the past 35 years including providing litigation support and expert witness testimony.

Some of the examples are listed below:

- Led the project team through a multi-year financial forensic investigation for a crown corporation defending a class action lawsuit. Mike provided expert support services and testified as an expert witness.
- Led the project for Canada's largest public union during an 11-year period where he performed a multi-year financial analysis, prepared numerous affidavits, provided expert services during mediation hearings and assisted in court hearing preparation with the legal team in a successful defense which also resulted in criminal charges for fraud against the plaintiffs.

Led the project team through a multi-year financial forensic investigation for a provincial government analyzing the Quantification of Loss of three farms relating to artificial flooding and testified as an expert witness.

- Led the project team in preparing a comprehensive and detailed multi-year forensic financial analysis to support a provincial government in the defense of a multi-million dollar law suit initiated by the North Dakota State and three farmers relating to a flood claim that had international border implications. This case is currently in the Federal Court system and will involve Mike testifying as an expert witness when required.
- Led the financial forensics investigation for a northern town that identified and quantified
 12 different fraudulent activities by the senior officer and recovered the financial losses.
- Led the IT forensic team in the investigation of hacking into a client's systems during a strike action resulting in disciplinary action with one individual and dismissal of another.
- Led the forensic team in the investigation of a senior IT manager committing fraud and successfully prepared the case ready file that was used to lay criminal charges and recover the financial losses.
- Performed forensic investigation into allegations against the Mayor of a City.
- Designed and implemented financial and technological audits for both external and internal audit departments.
- Mike provides training and is a requested speaker to groups on how to identify and investigate fraud through forensic techniques and control methods.
- Led the project team for the City of Winnipeg's Timekeeping Study and Billing and Accounts Receivable projects with recommendations that were implemented city-wide.

Details of these and other cases are available upon request.



CITY OF WINNIPEG

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

TABS 0.

TAB 1

Infrastructure Capital Expenditures

(in thousands of dollars)

1.1		
	100	-

Infrastructure Element	Replacement Value ²	Required Annual Need	Average Annual Budgeted Expenditure ³	Average Annual Investment Deficit	Present City Source of Funding	Expenditure Type
Bridges & Structures	S 987	\$19.7	\$13.7	\$6.0	Mill Rate*	Debt
Regional Streets	1000	25.0	14.8	10.2	Mill Rate*	Debt
Residential Streets	2600	30.0	2.5	27.5	Mill Rate	Debt
Back Lanes	373	5.0	1.8	3.2	Mill Rate	Debt
Sidewalks	163	2.0	0.9	1.1	Mill Rate	Debt
Watermains	1080	10.8	6.3	4.5	Frontage Levy	Cash
Shoal Lake Aqueduct	500	6.0	3.8	2.2	Surcharge	Cash
Other Waterworks & System Funding ^s	250	5.0	1.5	3.5	Water Rate	Debt
Sewers	2900	29.0	17.5	11.5	Frontage Levy	Cash
Sewage Interceptor/ Lift Stations	250	2.5	2.5	0.0	Sewer Rate/ Surcharge	Cash (Reserve) 34% Cash (Rate) 66%
Sewage Treatment Plants	600	6.0	7.5	(1.5)	Sewer Rate/ Surcharge	Cash (Reserve) 47% Cash (Rate) 53%
Land Drainage Systems	1700	2.0	2.0 3	0.0	Mill Rate	Debt
Power Plants & Dams*	550	7.3	11.5	{4.2}	Electricity Rate/ Retained Earnings	Debt 81% Cash 19%
Transmission Lines	90	1.2	5.9	(4.7)	Electricity Rate/ Retained Earnings	Debt 81% Cash 19%
Substations & Distribution Systems	560	16.0	9.4	6.6	Electricity Rate/ Retained Earnings	Debt 81% Cash 19%
Civic Buildings	229	9.5	4.1	5.4	Mill Rate	Debt
Parkades	58	1.7	0.2	1.5	Mill Rate	Debt
Parks & Recreation'	246	9.8	1.2 '	8.6	Mill Rate	Debt
Transit Buses	180	10.0	10.9	(0.9)	Transit Rate*	Debt 11% Cash 89%
Transit Plant & Equipment	85	3.2	2.0	1.2	Transit Rate	Debt
TOTAL (millions)	\$14,401	\$201.7	\$120.0	\$81.7		

IOTAL Internet (Internet (Internet (Internet), Internet), Internet, Int



CITY OF WINNIPEG

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees

TAB 2

City of Winnipeg	Financing level expected in	Backlog/	Infrastructure	Infrastructure
Infrastructure Deficit	future Capital Budgets	Work needing to be done	Funding Shortfall/Gap	Funding Shortfall/Gap
(\$ millions)	(10 years)	(10 years)	total shortfall (10 years)	Avg Yearly Shortfall
Renewal Investments	(10 years)	(10 years)	(10 years)	Shortuan
Watermain renewals	\$40	\$80	\$40	\$4
Sewer system Improvements	440	000	440	
Sewage treatment plants upgrades	\$30	\$260	\$230	\$23
Combined sewer overflows	\$40	\$270	\$230	\$23
Sewermain renewals	\$120	\$170	\$50	\$5
Basement flooding relief (land drainage)	\$70	\$110	\$40	\$4
Flood protection works (City infrastructure)	\$40	\$170	\$130	\$13
Roads - Existing (renewal)	\$590	\$1,090	\$500	\$50
Buildings including public use facilities	\$180	\$350	\$170	\$17
Parks	\$130	\$170	\$40	\$4
Transit - bus replacement	\$350	\$450	\$100	\$10
Total Renewal	\$1,590	\$3,120	\$1,530	\$153
New Strategic Investments				
Water treatment plant	\$220	\$220	\$0	\$0
Roads & bridges - New	\$40	\$160	\$120	\$17
Transit - rapid transit phase 1		\$180	\$180	\$18
Total New Strategic	\$260	\$560	\$300	\$35
Total Investment	\$1,850	\$3,680	\$1,830	\$188
Notes			Doode & Bridges	\$67
Notes Does not include adhoc strategic investments other t	than the three shows		Roads & Bridges Public Transit	
For water treatment plan, existing rates in place to p			incl water & flood	
For New Roads & Bridges, used average of 7 year o			uildings and Parks	
For Rapid Transit, unclear if any funding in place			Total	

Preparted by CAO Secretariat, September 2003



This is Exhibit "B" Referred to in the Affidavit of Mike Stevens Sworn before me at the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba This 3rd day of July, 2019

A Notary Public in and for the Province of Manitoba

CITY OF WINNIPEG

Global Financial Analysis Relating to the 2016 Hemson Report Impact Fees June 2019

REPORT SCHEDULES



Prepared by:

Exchange Chartered Professional Accountants LLP

Winnipeg, Canada Telephone 204.943.4584 <u>www.exg.ca</u>

Contact:

Mike Stevens | CPA | CA-IT | CFE

mike.stevens@exg.ca Telephone 204.947.7145

Balance Sheets Analysis (\$,000)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2000	2000	2010			-					
(),000)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	20
ASSETS																		
Current	1					1												
Cash and short-term investments	481,200	313,800	362,000	551,700	291,200	445,000	404,700	424,600	401,100	422,100	437,300	392,000	329,700	335,700	349,000	393,900	456,100	434,0
Accounts receivable	110,400	107,100	130,200	142,500	136,700	141,200	160,800	174,000	161,500	215,900	197,900	208,600	260,600	299,800	334,100	320,300	320,000	318,2
Long-Term																		
Land held for resale	8				13,900	13,100	10,600	12,400	12,500	15,200	14,900	13,700	14,700	9,100	10,900	5,900	1,200	4,4
Investments	69,000	359,200	365,900	368,000	348,200	266,700	260,700	295,600	299,100	276,300	292,500	303,800	306,800	338,100	313,200	322,200	305,900	308,1
Investment in govern businesses	33,500				22,100	22,100	22,600	23,500	23,300	23,600	23,800	31,400	35,800	34,400	30,100	30,200	30,200	25,4
	694,100	780,100	858,100	1,062,200	812,100	888,100	859,400	930,100	897,500	953,100	966,400	949,500	947,600	1,017,100	1,037,300	1,072,500	1,113,400	1,090,1
LIABILITIES	7																	
Current	-										-							
Accounts payable / accrued liabilities	136,700	146,700	128,000	129,900	138,700	158,100	185,100	159,900	139,000	145,300	186,500	205,800	202 200	202.000	273,000	000 070	251 500	271
Deferred revenue	5,200	6,200	8,400	9,400	6,500	29,600	43,600	42,500	50,900	71,400	64,800	55,100	263,700 61,100	262,900 52,100	44,300	258,200 49,600	261,500 48,400	271,
	5,200	0,200	0,100	5,400	0,500	20,000	45,000	42,500	50,500	11,400	04,000	55,100	01,100	52,100	44,500	43,000	40,400	55,5
Long-Term																		
Debt and Notes Payable	826,100	581,900	608,500	745,600	414,700	447,600	397,500	476,900	479,500	496,400	558,400	793.200	800,400	961.100	1.016,800	1.079,800	1.073.600	1,083,7
Other liabilities	35,800	41,500	47,100	47,800	41,600	38,800	37,700	32,100	46,900	45,500	55,400	57,000	57,100	73,200	83,300	133,500	138,900	129,
Accrued employee benefits	99,800	102,500	112,900	117,100	129,600	142,100	143,200	140,700	144,300	145,900	156,500	164,200	176,300	184,800	204,800	211,800	221,600	228,
Discontinued Operations	166,800																	
	1,270,400	878,800	904,900	1,049,800	731,100	816,200	807,100	852,100	860,600	904,500	1,021,600	1,275,300	1,358,600	1,534,100	1,622,200	1,732,900	1,744,000	1,769,
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS (LIABILITIES)	(576,300)	(98,700)	(46,800)	12,400	81,000	71,900	52,300	78,000	36,900	48,600	(55,200)	(325,800)	(411,000)	(517,000)	(584,900)	(660,400)	(630,600)	(678,9
NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS	1																	
Tangible capital assets	943,800	927,500	925,900	002 700	2 5 40 000	2 660 200	2 077 200	1 050 200	4 201 400	1 110 000	4747.000	E 202 CC2		F 0.F4 64-	C 100 01-			
Inventories	945,800	10,000	10,400	903,700 13,700	3,540,900	3,669,700	3,877,200	4,058,300	4,291,400	4,448,000	4,747,800	5,203,600	5,537,200	5,851,600	6,180,900	6,419,000	6,638,200	6,983,
Prepaid expenses / deferred charges	2,300	4,900	4,800	3,900	14,000 3,000	13,700 4,000	13,900 2,900	14,700 5,400	15,300 5,900	16,000	16,400	16,000	16,400	18,700	19,500	19,400	21,100	19,
Discontinued Operations	196,500	4,500	4,000	5,500	3,000	4,000	2,500	5,400	5,900	6,100	6,100	18,500	5,200	7,000	6,800	6,700	7,000	6,
	1,152,100	942,400	941,100	921.300	3,557,900	3,687,400	3,894,000	4,078,400	4,312,600	4,470,100	4,770,300	5,238,100	5,558,800	5,877,300	6,207,200	6,445,100	6,666,300	7,009
					,,	-,,	-,	.,,	.,	.,	1110,000	5,250,200	3,330,000	3,011,300	0,201,200	0,443,100	0,000,000	1,003



Accumulated Surpluses Analysis (\$,000)

10	n	'n
ιə,	υ	υ

e	2001 Pre-PSAB	2002 Pre-PSAB	2003 Pre-PSAB	2004 Pre-PSAB	2005 Restated	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	201
Accumulated Surpluses																		
Invested in tangible capital assets	274,300 59%	274,300 37%	941,100 61%	921,300 59%	3,038,600 84%	3,181,900 85%	3,434,700 87%	3,568,700 86%	3,803,800 .87%	3,983,500 85%	4,197,900 89%	4,397,800 90%	4,637,700 90%	4,890,300 91%	5,217,200 93%	5,397,200 93%	5,639,300 93%	5,836,60 92
Reserves and Retained Equity Reserve Funds Utilities and Other Equity Government Businesses Equity	293,700	293,700	447,800	507,300	292,800 170,200 22,100	270,300 198,700 22,100	246,400 161,900 22,600	252,400 224,800 23,500	258,700 207,400 23,300	250,900 213,100 23,600	275,000 181,300 23,800	285,500 168,100 31,400	266,500 197,800 35,800	291,600 150,800 34,400	302,900 109,900 30,100	294,600 123,700 30,200	297,800 139,200 30,200	348,60 195,40 25,40
	293,700 63%	293,700 40%	447,800 29%	507,300 32%	485,100	491,100	430,900	500,700	489,400	487,600	480,100	485,000	500,100	476,800	442,900	448,500	467,200	569,4
Manitoba Hydro long-term receivable		279,700	271,500	262,800	253,500	238,400	238,400	232,700	226,600	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,200	220,20
Unfunded Expenses to be funded from future revenues																		
Accrued employee benefits and other Landfill Contaminated sites Canadian Museum for Human Rights grant	(96,600) (6,000)	(98,600) (10,000)	(107,700) (11,000)	(118,500) (11,000)	(124,300) (14,000)	(135,800) (16,300)	(137,400) (20,300)	(135,000) (15,600)	(141,300) (17,900)	(142,600) (18,900)	(152,900) (19,200)	(159,900) (20,000)	(172,300) (27,100)	(182,600) (30,500) (3,600)	(199,000) (41,700) (7,400)	(210,300) (49,100) (15,100)	(223,800) (48,700) (12,700)	(226,80 (50,20 (13,70
condular musculi for human rights grant	(102,600)	(108,600) -15%	(118,700)	(129,500)	(138,300)	(152,100)	(157,700)	4,900 (145,700)	(11,100) (170,300)	(11,100) (172,600)	(11,000) (183,100)	(10,800) (190,700)	(10,800) (210,200)	(10,300) (227,000)	(9,900) (258,000)	(6,700) (281,200)	(5,800) (291,000)	(4,8) (295,5)
Accumulated Surpluses	465,400	739,100	1,541,700	1,561,900	3,638,900	3,759,300	3,946,300	4.156.400	4.349.500	4,518,700	4,715,100	4.912.300	5,147,800	5,360,300	5,622,300	5,784,700	6.035.700	6,330,7

Schedule 2

City of Winnipeg Income Statements Analysis						High Le	evel Sun	nmary									Sc	hedule
(\$,000)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	20
OPERATIONS																		
REVENUES	-																	
Net Property Taxes - Operations Total Other Operations Revenue	159,300 533,200	168,800 545,100	173,100 578,700	195,500 584,100	169,700 625,900	178,300 641,000	166,600 691,700	142,700 732,300	217,400 733,800	202,700 775,600	218,100 841,200	214,200 849,700	237,300 913,400	314,500 934,600	315,700 900,000	333,500 959,700	287,400 1,005,200	286,0 1,031,8
Total Operations Revenue	692,500	713,900	751,800	779,600	795,600	819,300	858,300	875,000	951,200	978,300	1,059,300	1,063,900	1,150,700	1,249,100	1,215,700	1,293,200	1,292,600	1,317,8
Net Operations Expenses	687,500	708,800	746,600	774,300	790,300	813,900	852,800	869,400	945,500	972,400	1,053,400	1,057,700	1,144,100	1,242,100	1,208,500	1,285,600	1,284,700	1,309,6
CONTRIBUTION TO STABILIZATION FUND	5,000	5,100	5,200	5,300	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,900	5,900	6,200	6,600	7,000	7,200	7,600	7,900	8,2
																	Total	110,6
CAPTIAL																		
REVENUE																		
Property Taxes - Available for Capital	219,800	216,600	209,600	189,200	221,800	227,800	240,500	265,300	199,400	225,500	213,200	239,800	245,700	193,200	206,400	203,400	272,300	302,6
Government Transfers - Capital Other Capital Revenue	22,400	29,100	32,800	42,100	21,700	43,100	71,400	90,600	122,100	107,000	138,611	121,300	130,900	209,800	201,400	151,600	156,300	181,8
			0	0	17,100	15,300	50,600	40,300	71,000	43,100	58,600	72,200	91,900	64,500	131,700	86,500	112,900	112,1
Total Capital Revenue	242,200	245,700	242,400	231,300	260,600	286,200	362,500	396,200	392,500	375,600	410,411	433,300	468,500	467,500	539,500	441,500	541,500	596,5
AMORTIZATION AND INTEREST	164,200	148,500	142,900	136,400	173,000	171,400	180,600	192,100	205,000	212,100	219,800	242,000	252,800	261,800	277,500	287,000	298,700	309,4
AMORTIZATION AND INTEREST																		,
AMORTIZATION AND INTEREST																		

ncome Statements Analysis						Detaile	a										Sc	hedule
(,000)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2005	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	201
PERATIONS																		
EVENUES																		
roperty Taxes																		
Total Collected Less: Capital Portion	774,500	799,500	807,800	823,800	825,700	843,400	850,000	873,000	891,200	926,100	928,500	975,300	1,033,000	1,086,900	1,128,900	1,182,700	1,227,100	1,288,40
et Property Taxes - Operations Portion	554,700	582,900	598,200	634,600	604,900	615,600				(225,500)	(213,200)	(239,800)	(245,700)	(193,200)	(206,400)	(203,400)	(272,300)	(302,600
Less: Province and School Payments	(395,400)	(414,100)	(425,100)	(439,100)	(435,200)	(437,300)	619,500 (452,900)	607,700 (465,000)	691,800 (474,400)	700,600 (497,900)	715,300 (497,200)	735,500 (521,300)	787,300 (550,000)	893,700 (579,200)	922,500	979,300 (645,800)	954,800 (667,400)	585,80
Net Property Taxes - Operations	159,300 42%	168,800 455	173,100	195,500 515	169,700	178,300	166,600	142,700	217,400	202,700	218,100	214,200 47%	237,300	314,500	315,700	333,500	287,400	286,00
ther Operations Revenue											34.8	47.8	43.4	DU A		BZ.A	31.8	
Sales of Services and Regulatory Fees	294,200	295,500	318,600	328,200	339,500	356,800	381,300	413,000	413,200	425,200	460,500	483,300	507,900	526,300	\$45,600	569,600	595,200	632,90
Government Transfers - Operating Taxation - Other (see Schedule)	93,700 101,900	94,000	97,100 107,300	94,100 107,000	99,000 112,100	109,200	117,200	122,700	134,700	144,900	159,500	159,000	161,300 128,800	169,000 133,200	171,600 138,200	182,200 154,100	194,900 152,500	193,10 157,50
Investment Income	35,700	48,100	45,500	44,600	41,700	47,100	50,100	48,100	39,500	34,800	40,400	40,900	37,900	35,700	30,000	26,800	30,500	35,40
Land Sales and Other Revenues	7,700	8,700	10,200	10,200	33,600	15,400	35,000	34,800	28,600	47,900	48,300	32,900	77,500	70,400	14,600	27,000	32,100	12,90
Total Other Operations Revenue	533,200	545,100	578,700	584,100	625,900	641,000	691,700	732,300	733,800	775,600	841,200	849,700	913,400	934,600	900,000	959,700	1,005,200	1,031,80
Total Operations Revenue	692,500	713,900	751,800	779,600	795,600	819,300	858,300	875,000	951,200	978,300	1,059,300	1,063,900	1,150,700	1,249,100	1,215,700	1,293,200	1,292,600	1,317,80
XPENSES					_													
Protection and community services Utility operations	258,300 217,600	264,500 223,800	283,800 218,200	300,600	301,900	319,700	336,700	344,200	362,300	390,400	388,100	416,300	438,000	466,800	488,600	528,200	549,200	544,60
Public works	203,800	202,100	205,300	217,200	250,500	224,400	242,800	258,800	278,800	301,600 264,500	334,200 287,800	338,000	347,700 312,700	378,600 331,200	370,200 318,000	383,900 338,100	417,400 298,500	433,20
Property and development	\$3,200	53,400	53,400	53,700	60,300	80,000	90,000	93,700	98,000	101,600	103,400	105,700	132,000	145,300	128,800	131,900	134,900	131,90
Finance and administration	\$2,500	53,900	55,900	65,400	55,200	59,700	63,800	67,600	61,600	66,400	70,400	71,400	72,900	76,600	71,300	70,000	84,500	92,00
Civic corporations General government	27,800 60,200	30,300 66,800	29,900 64,500	31,200	34,600	46,000	25,000	29,400	29,600	31,500	47,300	51,500	54,800	58,200	61,800	69,800	71,600	74,00
Less: Capital Expenses in Departments	00,200	00,800	64,500	47,300	25,600	24,200	25,800	17,300	49,300	28,500	42,000	33,800	38,800	46,200	47,300	50,700	27,300	27,40
Amortization in Departments	(87,900)	(81,600)	(77,500)	(76,200)	(122,700)	(125,700)	(133,600)	(141,100)	(155,400)	(165,900)	(175,800)	(188,400)	(198,100)	(208,100)	(221,400)	(235,200)	(245,900)	(257,40
Interest on Debt	[76,300)	(66,900)	(65,400)	(60,200)	(50,300)	(45,700)	(47,000)	(51,000)	(49,500)	(46,200)	(44,000)	(53,600)	(54,700)	(53,700)	(56,100)	(\$1,800)	(52,800)	(52,00
Estimated PASB Adjustments Net Operations Expenses	(21,700) 687,500	(37,500)	(21,500) 746,600	(19,200) 774,300	790,300	813,900	852,800	859,400	945,500	972,400	1.053.400	1.057.700						
													1,144,100	1,242,100	1,208,500	1,285,600	1,284,700	1,309,60
ONTRIBUTION TO STABILIZATION FUND	5,000	5,100	5,200	5,300	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,900	5,900	6,200	6,600	7,000	7,200	7,600	7,900 Total	8,20
APTIAL																		
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital	219,800	216,600	209,600	189,200	221,800	227,800	240,500	265,300	199,400	225,500	213,200	239,800	245,700	193,200	206,400	203,400	272,300	302,60
APTIAL EVENUE Percentage of Total Property Taxes	219,800 58%	216,600 56%	209,600 55%	189,200 49%	221,800 57%	227,800 56%	240,500 59%	265,300 65%	199,400 48%	225,500 53%	213,200 49%	239,800 53%	245,700 51%	193,200 38%	206,400	203,400	272,300 875	302,60 51
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital	58%	56%	55%	49%	57%	56%	59%	65%	48%	53%	49%	53%	515	38%	40%	38%	43%	51
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes	58%	56%			57%	56%	59%	65% 64,000	48%	53%	49%	53%	515	38% 134,600	40%	38% 94,500	49% 96,400	51
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba	58%	56%	55%	49%	57%	56%	59%	65%	48%	53%	49%	53%	515	38%	40%	38%	43%	51 76,50 105,30
EVENUE roperty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue	58% 21,100 1,300	56% 19,700 9,400	55% 27,400 5,400	49% 36,000 6,100	57% 15,000 6,700	56% 14,600 28,500	59% 40,100 31,300	65% 64,000 26,600	48% 53,000 69,100	53% 62,300 44,700	49% 79,000 59,600	53% 63,200 58,100	51% 59,200 71,700	38% 134,600 75,200	40% 117,700 83,700	38% 94,500 57,100	49% 96,400 59,900 156,300	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 32
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Petrentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue Import Fees	58% 21,100 1,300	56% 19,700 9,400	55% 27,400 5,400	49% 36,000 6,100	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700	56%	59% 40,100 31,300 71,400	65% 64,000 26,600 90,600	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000	49% 79,000 59,600 138,611	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300	51% 59,200 71,700 130,900	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400	38% 94,500 57,100 151,600	43% 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 32 12,40
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes wermment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada her Capital Revenue Import Fes	58% 21,100 1,300	56% 19,700 9,400	55% 27,400 5,400	49% 36,000 6,100	57% 15,000 6,700	56% 14,600 28,500	59% 40,100 31,300	65% 64,000 26,600	48% 53,000 69,100	53% 62,300 44,700	49% 79,000 59,600	53% 63,200 58,100	51% 59,200 71,700	38% 134,600 75,200	40% 117,700 83,700	38% 94,500 57,100	49% 96,400 59,900 156,300	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 32 12,40 82,70
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue Amport Feet Developer contributions-in-kind	58% 21,100 1,300	56% 19,700 9,400	55% 27,400 5,400	49% 36,000 6,100	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700	56%	59% 40,100 31,300 71,400	65% 64,000 26,600 90,600	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000	49% 79,000 59,600 138,611	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300	51% 59,200 71,700 130,900	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400 111,000	38% 94,500 57,100 151,600 59,000	435 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100 95,200	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 12,40 82,70 17,00
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada her Capital Revenue Import Fees Developer contributions in kind Other capital contributions Total Capital Revenue	58% 21,100 1,300 22,400	56% 19,700 9,400 29,100	55% 27,400 5,400 32,800	43% 36,000 6,100 42,100	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700 17,100	56% 14,600 28,500 43,100 15,300	59% 40,100 31,300 71,400 50,600	65% 64,000 26,600 90,600 40,300	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100 71,000	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000 43,100	43% 79,000 59,600 138,611 58,600	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300 72,200	51% 59,200 71,700 130,900 91,900	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800 64,500	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400 111,000 20,700	38% 94,500 57,100 151,600 59,000 27,500	43% 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100 95,200 13,600	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 12,40 82,70 17,00
EVENUE Opperty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue Import fees Developer contributions in kind Other capital contributions Total Capital Revenue MORTIZATION AND INTEREST Amortization in Departments	58% 21,100 1,300 22,400 242,200 87,900	56% 19,700 9,400 29,100 245,700 81,600	55% 27,400 5,400 32,800 242,400 77,500	49% 36,000 6,100 42,100 231,300 76,200	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700 17,100 260,600 122,700	56% 14,600 28,500 43,100 15,300	59% 40,100 31,300 71,400 50,600	65% 64,000 26,600 90,600 40,300	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100 71,000	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000 43,100	43% 79,000 59,600 138,611 58,600	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300 72,200	51% 59,200 71,700 130,900 91,900	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800 64,500	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400 111,000 20,700	38% 94,500 57,100 151,600 59,000 27,500	43% 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100 95,200 13,600	
EVENUE roperty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue Import fees Developer contributions-in-kind Other capital contributions- Total Capital Revenue MORTIZATION AND INTEREST	58% 21,100 1,300 22,400 242,200 87,900 76,300	56% 19,700 9,400 29,100 245,700 81,600 66,900	55% 27,400 5,400 32,800 242,400 77,500 65,400	49% 36,000 6,100 42,100 231,300 76,200 60,200	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700 17,100 260,600 122,700 50,300	56% 14,600 28,500 43,100 15,300 285,200 125,700 45,700	59% 40,100 31,300 71,400 50,600 362,500 133,600 47,000	65% 64,000 26,600 90,600 40,300 396,200	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100 71,000 392,500	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000 43,100 375,600	49% 79,000 59,600 138,611 58,600 410,411	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300 72,200 433,300	51% 59,200 71,700 130,900 91,900 468,500	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800 64,500 467,500	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400 111,000 20,700 539,500	38% 94,500 57,100 151,600 59,000 27,500 441,500	49% 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100 95,200 13,600 541,500	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 82,70 17,00 596,50
EVENUE operty Taxes - Available for Capital Percentage of Total Property Taxes overnment Transfers - Capital Province of Manitoba Government of Canada ther Capital Revenue Import Fees Developer contributions in kind Other capital contributions Total Capital Revenue WORTIZATION AND INTEREST Amortization in Departments	58% 21,100 1,300 22,400 242,200 87,900	56% 19,700 9,400 29,100 245,700 81,600	55% 27,400 5,400 32,800 242,400 77,500	49% 36,000 6,100 42,100 231,300 76,200	57% 15,000 6,700 21,700 17,100 260,600 122,700	56% 14,600 28,500 43,100 15,300 285,200 125,700	39% 40,100 31,300 71,400 50,600 362,500 133,600	655 64,000 26,600 90,600 40,300 396,200 141,100	48% 53,000 69,100 122,100 71,000 392,500 155,400	53% 62,300 44,700 107,000 43,100 375,600	49% 79,000 59,600 138,611 58,600 410,411 175,800	53% 63,200 58,100 121,300 72,200 433,300 188,400	515 59,200 71,700 130,900 91,900 468,500 198,100	38% 134,600 75,200 209,800 64,500 467,500 208,100	40% 117,700 83,700 201,400 111,000 20,700 539,500 221,400	38% 94,500 57,109 151,600 27,500 441,500 235,200	495 96,400 59,900 156,300 4,100 95,200 13,600 541,500 245,900	51 76,50 105,30 181,80 82,70 17,00 596,50 257,40



(\$,000)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	201
		LOOL	2005	2004	2005	2000	2007	2000	2009	2010	2011	2012	2015	2014	2015	2010	2017	20.
CAPTIAL																		
REVENUE																		
Property Taxes - Capital Portion	219,800	216,600	209,600	189,200	221,800	227,800	240,500	265,300	199,400	225,500	213,200	239,800	245,700	193.200	206,400	203,400	272,300	302,6
Percentage of Total Property Taxes	58%	56%	55%	49%	57%	56%	59%	65%	48%	53%	49%	53%	51%	38%	40%	38%	49%	5
Government Transfers - Capital																		
Province of Manitoba	21,100	19,700	27,400	36,000	15,000	14,600	40,100	64,000	53,000	62,300	79,000	63,200	59,200	134,600	117,700	94,500	96,400	76,5
Government of Canada	1,300	9,400	5,400	6,100	6,700	28,500	31,300	26,600	69,100	44,700	59,600	58,100	71,700	75,200	83,700	57,100	59,900	105,3
	22,400	29,100	32,800	42,100	21,700	43,100	71,400	90,600	122,100	107,000	138,611	121,300	130,900	209,800	201,400	151,600	156,300	181,8
Other Capital Revenue																		33
Impact Fees																	4,100	12,4
Developer contributions-in-kind					17,100	15,300	50,600	40,300	71,000	43,100	58,600	72,200	91,900	64,500	111,000	59,000	95,200	82,7
Other capital contributions					-										20,700	27,500	13,600	17,00
Total Capital Revenue	242,200	245,700	242,400	231,300	260,600	286,200	362,500	396,200	392,500	375,600	410,411	433,300	468,500	467,500	539,500	441,500	541,500	596,50
CAPITAL PURCHASES																		
CAPITAL PURCHASES Capital Acquisitions Each Year	(118,600)	(135,800)	(157,900)	(139,200)	(199,300)	(263,100)	(352,100)	(330,300)	(384,100)	(333,900)	(486,300)	(654,000)	(543,900)	(525,600)	(558,400)	(409,100)	(377,100)	(533,5
	(118,600)	(135,800)	(157,900)	(139,200)	(199,300)	(263,100)	(352,100)	(330,300)	(384,100)	(333,900)	(486,300)	(654,000)	(543,900)	(525,600)	(558,400)	(409,100)	(377,100)	(533,5
	(118,600)																	
Capital Acquisitions Each Year		(135,800) 109,900	(157,900) 84,500	(139,200) 92,100	(199,300) 61,300	(263,100) 23,100	(352,100) 10,400	(330,300) 65,900	(384,100) 8,400	(333,900) 41,700	(486,300) (75,889)	(654,000) (220,700)	(543,900) (75,400)	(525,600)	(558,400) (18,900)	(409,100) 32,400	(377,100) 164,400	
Capital Acquisitions Each Year NET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL PURCHASES																		
Capital Acquisitions Each Year NET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL PURCHASES	123,600	109,900	84,500	92,100	61,300	23,100	10,400	65,900	8,400	41,700	(75,889)	(220,700)	(75,400)	(58,100)	(18,900)	32,400	164,400	63,0
Capital Acquisitions Each Year NET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL PURCHASES DEBT																		(533,5 63,0 1,083,7 10,0



(\$,000)	Project Ana 2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2017	2010	
		2002	2005	2004	2003	2000	2007	2006	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	
CAPTIAL	l																		
REVENUE																			
Property Taxes - Capital Portion	219,800	216,600	209,600	189,200	221,800	227,800	240,500	265,300	199,400	225,500	213,200	239,800	245,700	193,200	206,400	203,400	272,300	302,600	
Percentage of Total Property Taxes	58%	56%	55%	49%	57%	56%	59%	65%	48%	53%	49%	53%	51%	38%	40%	38%	49%	51%	
Government Transfers - Capital																			
Province of Manitoba	21,100	19,700	27,400	36,000	15,000	14,600	40,100	64,000	53,000	62,300	79,000	63,200	59,200	134,600	117,700	94,500	96,400	76,500	
Government of Canada	1,300	9,400	5,400	6,100	6,700	28,500	31,300	26,600	69,100	44,700	59,600	58,100	71,700	75,200	83,700	57,100	59,900	105,300	
	22,400	29,100	32,800	42,100	21,700	43,100	71,400	90,600	122,100	107,000	138,611	121,300	130,900	209,800	201,400	151,600	156,300	181,800 322%	
Other Capital Revenue																		341.0	
Impact Fees																	4,100	12,400	
Developer contributions-in-kind Other capital contributions					17,100	15,300	50,600	40,300	71,000	43,100	58,600	72,200	91,900	64,500	111,000	59,000	95,200	82,700	
				-		-							-		20,700	27,500	13,600	17,000	
Total Capital Revenue	242,200	245,700	242,400	231,300	260,600	286,200	362,500	396,200	392,500	375,600	410,411	433,300	468,500	467,500	539,500	441,500	541,500	596,500	
CAPITAL PURCHASES							-												
Capital Acquisitions during year	(118,600)	(135,800)	(157,900)	(139,200)	(199,300)	(263,100)	(352,100)	(330,300)	(384,100)	(333,900)	(486,300)	(654,000)	(543,900)	(525,600)	(558,400)	(409,100)	(377,100)	(533,500)	
NET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL PURCHASES	123,600	109,900	84,500	92,100	61,300	23,100	10,400	65,900	8,400	41,700	(75,889)	(220,700)	(75,400)	(58,100)	(18,900)	32,400	164,400	63,000	
Capital Actual to Budget	157,800	207,100	173,000	209,700	298,500	307,700	427,400	421,100	476,600	439,600	370,100	393,300	374,800	379,600	560,700	1,177,800	432,800	380,300	7,187
Add: Developer in Kind Contributions	0	0	0	0	17,100	15,300	50,600	40,300	71,000	43,100	58,600	72,200	91,900	64,500	111,000	59,000	95,200	82,700	873
Total Capital Budgets Plus In-Kind	157,800	207,100	173,000	209,700	315,600	323,000	478,000	461,400	547,600	482,700	428,700	465,500	466,700	444,100	671,700	1,236,800	528,000	463,000	8,060
Accumulated Capital Budget	157,800	364,900	537,900	747,600	1,063,200	1,386,200	1,864,200	2,325,600	2,873,200	3,355,900	3,784,600	4,250,100	4,716,800	5,160,900	5,832,600	7,069,400	7,597,400	8,060,400	
Capital Acquisitions	(118,600)	(135,800)	(157,900)	(139,200)	(199,300)	(263,100)	(352,100)	(330,300)	(384,100)	(333,900)	(486,300)	(654,000)	(543,900)	(525,600)	(558,400)	(409,100)	(377,100)	(533,500)	(6,502
	Inter cont	127.4.4001	(412,300)	(551,500)	(750,800)	(1,013,900)	(1,366,000)	(1.695.300)	(2.080.400)	(2,414,300)	(2,900,600)	(3,554,600)	(4,098,500)	(4,624,100)	(5,182,500)	(5,591,600)	(5,968,700)	(6,502,200)	
Accumulated Capitial Acquisitions	(118,600)	(254,400)	[444,300]		1	[11]012[200]	[1,500,000]	[1,030,300]	12,000,400)	feet on other and									6
	(118,600)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)	(884,000)	(695,500)	(618,300)	(536,800)	(650,100)	(1,477,800)	(1.528,700)	(1.558.200)	(1.558
Accumulated Capitial Acquisitions Dutstanding Backlog Capital Budgets								(-,,,			(884,000)	(695,500)		(536,800) nd Sewage Pla			(1,528,700)	(1,558,200)	(1,558
Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)		1	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400			_
								(-,,,			(884,000) (18,289)	(695,500)					(1,528,700) 13,500	(1,558,200) 133,500	_
Dutstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)		1	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400			_
Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)		1	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400			_
Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)		1	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400			(1,126
Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets NET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL BUDGETS Additional Capital Required Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200)	(110,500)	(125,600)	(196,100)	(312,400)	(372,300)	(498,200)	(629,300)	(792,800)	(941,600)		1	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400			(1,558
Autstanding Backlog Capital Budgets	(39,200) 84,400	(110,500) 38,600	(125,600) 69,400	(196,100) 21,600	(312,400)	(372,300) (36,800)	(498,200) (115,500)	(629,300) (65,200)	(792,800)	(941,600) (107,100)	(18,289)	(32,200)	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400 (795,300)	13,500	133,500	(1,126
Utstanding Backlog Capital Budgets ET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL BUDGETS Additional Capital Required Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets (See abow)	(39,200) 84,400	(110,500) 38,600	(125,600) 69,400	(196,100) 21,600	(312,400)	(372,300) (36,800)	(498,200) (115,500)	(629,300) (65,200)	(792,800)	(941,600) (107,100)	(18,289)	(32,200)	Norther	nd Sewage Pla	ant Not Start	ed: \$643,400 (795,300)	13,500	133,500	(1,126
Outstanding Backlog Capital Budgets IET FUNDS AFTER CAPITAL BUDGETS Additional Capital Required	(39,200) 84,400 (39,200)	(110,500) 38,600 (71,300)	(125,600) 69,400 (15,100)	(196,100) 21,600 (70,500)	(312,400) (55,000) (116,300)	(372,300) (36,800) (59,900)	(498,200) (115,500) (125,900)	(629,300) (65,200) (131,100)	(792,800) (155,100) (163,500)	(941,600) (107,100) (148,800)	(18,289) 57,600	(32,200) 188,500	Norther 1,800 77,200	ad Sewage Pla 23,400 81,500	(132,200) (113,300)	ed: \$643,400 (795,300) (827,700)	13,500 (150,900)	133,500 70,500	(1,126



City of Winnipeg Adopted Capital Budgets Analysis

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2
	1																		
Hemson Categories				_									_		_				
10-Year Benefitting Period	-																		
1.0 Parks and Open Spaces	900	800	3,600	2,100	1,600	1,000	3,400	5,200	4,900	4,700	7,700	4,500	7,700	13,800	6,000	8,200	9,000	11,200	8,
2.0 Community Services	2,300	6,700	10,200	10,700	4,100	2,900	3,500	3,700	6,600	12,500	13,800	16,900	25,700	25,000	20,900	20,000	21,500	20,400	30,
3.0 Solid Waste					1,800	700	500	400	2,000	12,400	400	15,400	14,400	7,200	3,900	6,200	8,100	4,500	3
	3,200	7,500	13,800	12,800	7,500	4,600	7,400	9,300	13,500	29,600	21,900	36,800	47,800	46,000	30,800	34,400	38,600	36,100	42
15-Year Benefitting Period																			
4.0 Public Works	38,700	70,000	57,100	37,800	58,600	79,900	173,600	179,800	96,700	187,500	111,500	149,900	109,100	124,600	128,700	302,400	138,000	153,600	109
	38,700	70,000	57,100	37,800	58,600	79,900	173,600	179,800	96,700	187,500	111,500	149,900	109,100	124,600	128,700	302,400	138,000	153,600	109
25-Year Benefitting Period															-				
5.0 Transit	10,900	10,900	19,400	14,100	15,500	31,600	41,900	16,700	164,700	27,900	28,100	24,500	28,400	31,800	27,400	43,900	81,500	31,900	3
6.0 Fire and Paramedic Services	1,000		900		-	1,700		1,800		2,800			5,000		5,300	5,800	5,200	4,100	14
7.0 Police	400				2,300		20,700	34,400	11,700	10,300	99,500	8,700	12,000	4,500	7,200	12,200	23,600	3,300	
8.0 Water	15,700	22,000	21,500	38,200	97,400	109,500	84,700	20,500	22,400	20,600	33,700	53,000	52,200	37,500	69,800	45,300	58,300	28,500	2
9.0 Wastewater (Sewage)	36,800	40,100	39,500	73,800	100,600	54,700	71,100	110,400	134,200	136,600	47,600	51,400	87,500	109,300	261,800	707,400	57,800	78,200	7
Winnipeg Hydro	37,800	37,200																	-
	102,600	110,200	81,300	126,100	215,800	197,500	218,400	183,800	333,000	198,200	208,900	137,600	185,100	183,100	371,500	814,600	226,400	146,000	15
otal Impact Fee Capital Budgets	144,500	187,700	152,200	176,700	281,900	282,000	200 400	272.000											-
Percentage of Total Capital Budget	92%	91%	152,200	1/6,/00	281,900	282,000	399,400	372,900	443,200 93%	415,300	342,300	324,300	342,000	353,700	531,000	1,151,400	403,000	335,700	30
Percencage di Total capital Buoget	34.4	31.4	00/4	04/8	2478	92%	35%	23.25	32%	94%	92%	82%	91%	93%	95%	98% ed: \$643,400	93%	88%	-
Other Outside Hemson Report													Normena	Sewage Fia	nt Not Start	20: 3043,400			
Aunicipal Accommodations		3,200	5,900	5,400	6,400	8,800	12.900	27.800	13.900	11,100	8.900	59,300	23,600	12,300	14,900	10 500	0.000	7 500	
lanning, Property and Development	6,900	3,900	5,200	4,500	3,800	4,100	5,800	5,600	5,300							10,500	9,200	7,500	-1
orporate Support Services	4,700	5,000	5,700	4,100						8,000	5,900	6,000	5,800	6,400	5,700	5,000	10,100	1,700	
Assessment and Taxation	4,700	5,000	5,700	4,100	3,300	5,000	2,300	1,500	600	2,600	4,300	2,200	2,100	2,400	3,200	5,500	4,300	9,600	1
City Clerks	600	200	200	200	200				500	1,000		500	-	2,000	3,800	3,000	3,900	2,400	
Dther		300	300	200	200	900		200	2,000	1,200	700	700	700	2,500	1,800	1,800	2,100	700	
	1,100	7,000	3,700	18,800	2,900	6,900	7,000	13,100	11,100	400	8,000	300	600	300	300	600	200		
pecial Operating Agencies	12 200	10.100	20.000											-		1		22,700	2
Percentage of Total Capital Budget.	13,300	19,400 9%	20,800	33,000 15%	16,600	25,700 8%	28,000	48,200	33,400	24,300	27,800	69,000	32,800	25,900	29,700	26,400	29,800	44,600	5
Percellage of Iotal Capital Budget	0.4	2,4	12.5	10.5	0,6	678	12	11%	7%	675	875	18%	9%	7%	5%	2%	7%	12%	
otal Adopted Capital Budget	157,800	207,100	173,000	209,700	298,500	307,700	427,400	421,100	476,600	439,600	370,100	393,300	374,800	379,600	560,700	1,177,800	432,800	380,300	36
atal Capital Budget Fugue Fire Vega	-				1000000	_	_												
otal Capital Budget Every Five Years					1,045,100			-	_	2,072,400					2,078,500				2,35
ources of Financing																			
ash to Capital and Frontage Levy	19,600	36,000	30,200	86,800	85,700	82,400	89,100	88,200	71,000	69,300	67,500	69,500	71,500	75,500	82,000	85.200	65,600	33,300	3
eserves and Retained Earnings	81,500	85,400	99,200	84,400	116,700	45,700	56,000	79,900	103,700	92,500	96,400	114,700	171,200	181,100	168,600	257,800	192,300	194,400	21
	18,800	35,100	36.000	27.300	44,000	91,500	90,300	77,200	147,800	169,700	117,700	146,000	92.800	102,100	112,000	206,200	160,400	106.900	
ther Governments			21%	13%	15%	30%	21%	18%	31%	105,700	37%	140,000	25%	27%					- 5
ther Governments						3615		20,0	31/4	32/1	92.6	3/76	22/8	2/2	20%	18%	37%	28%	
ther Governments	12%	17%								_						-			
		1/%	2.000	11 000	1 000	3 3 6 6	E 200						1,400		3,100	7,500		100	
Other Governments		174	3,000	11,000	1,000	3,300	5,300	900					1,400				1,600		
ther Funders evelopers	12%			11,000	1,000 1,000	3,400	5,400	1,100	2,100		300	1,000	1,400	1,400	500	500	3,300	3,100	
ther Funders evelopers ublic Private Partnerships (Repayments)	12%	300	1,500		1,000	3,400 (8,700)	5,400 107,900	1,100 125,100	1,400	200	10,300	(24,500)	(28,700)	(28,100)	500 (28,100)	500 (44,500)	3,300 (28,300)	3,100 (28,300)	
ther Funders evelopers	12%			11,000		3,400	5,400	1,100		200 331,700					500	500	3,300	3,100	
ther Funders levelopers ublic Private Partnerships (Repayments)	12%	300	1,500		1,000 248,400	3,400 (8,700) 217,600	5,400 107,900	1,100 125,100	1,400 326,000	331,700	10,300 292,200	(24,500) 306,700	(28,700) 308,200	(28,100) 332,000	500 (28,100) 338,100	500 (44,500) 512,700	3,300 (28,300) 394,900	3,100 (28,300) 309,500	31
Other Funders levelopers ublic Private Partnerships (Repayments) Non-Debt Sources	200 120,100	300 156,800	1,500 169,900		1,000	3,400 (8,700)	5,400 107,900 354,000	1,100 125,100 372,400	1,400		10,300	(24,500)	(28,700)	(28,100)	500 (28,100)	500 (44,500)	3,300 (28,300)	3,100 (28,300)	(2) 31



Schedule 6

Capital Forecasts Analysis							-		edule 7
(Rounded \$,000)						2019 Capit	tal Budget - Fr	orecasts	
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	202
	1								
Hemson Categories	· · · · ·								
10-Year Benefitting Period									
1.0 Parks and Open Spaces	8,200	9,000	11,200	8,700	9,100	12,000	8,300	10,800	7,50
2.0 Community Services	20,000	21,500	20,400	30,600	12,100	29,200	15,700	13,500	11,50
3.0 Solid Waste	6,200	8,100	4,500	3,600	3,900	1,500	3,600	2,000	70
15-Year Benefitting Period	34,400	38,600	36,100	42,900	25,100	42,700	27,600	26,300	19,70
4.0 Public Works	302,400	138.000							
4.0 Public Works	302,400	138,000	153,600 153,600	109,700	141,500	165,200	182,700	167,400	167,20
25-Year Benefitting Period	302,400	138,000	153,600	109,700	141,500	165,200	182,700	167,400	167,20
5.0 Transit	43,900	81,500	31,900	39,800	34,300	38,300	22.000		
6.0 Fire and Paramedic Services	5,800	5,200	4,100	16,100	15,900		38,000	40,400	41,90
7.0 Police	12,200	23,600	3,300	4,600		3,900	6,400	2,500	2,70
8.0 Water	45,300	58,300	28,500	25,000	3,000 36,900	5,500 35,300	6,600	2,800	3,10
9.0 Wastewater (Sewage)	707,400	57,800	78,200	71,400	72,300		32,400	28,000	29,00
Winnipeg Hydro	101,400	57,000	70,200	/1,400	12,500	61,200	67,600	73,200	100,700
Himipe Britaro	814,600	226,400	146,000	156,900	162,400	144,200	151.000	146,900	177,40
	614,000	220,400	140,000	130,500	102,400	144,200	151,000	140,900	1/7,400
Total Impact Fee Capital Budgets	1,151,400	403,000	335,700	309,500					
Percentage of Total Capital Budget		405,000	335,700	309,500	329,000 89%	352,100 90%	361,300	340,600	364,30
Terteininge of Total capital bulget	20.8	23/6	06:4	6476	8976	90%	91%	91%	91
Other Outside Hemson Report	1								
	10.500		- 194						
Municipal Accommodations	10,500	9,200	7,500	18,200	6,400	8,000	4,900	5,700	8,100
Planning, Property and Development	5,000	10,100	1,700	3,000	2,800	3,000	2,300	1,100	2,300
Corporate Support Services Assessment and Taxation	5,500	4,300	9,600	11,400	11,900	9,700	9,200	8,200	7,90
City Clerks	3,000		2,400	2,400	1,800	2,400	2,800	2,400	2,70
Other	1,800	2,100	700			200	1,400	200	
Special Operating Agencies	600	200	22,700	23,400					
special Operating Agencies	25.400	29,800	44,600		17,600	16,900	17,000	16,900	17,000
Percentage of Total Capital Budget		29,800	44,600	58,400 16%	40,500	40,200	37,600	34,500	38,000
					11.4	10.10	374	376	3
Total Adopted Capital Budget	1,177,800	432,800	380,300	367,900	369,500	392,300	398,900	375,100	402,30
									_
Total Capital Budget Every Five Years				2,358,800					1,938,10
Sources of Financing									_
Cash to Capital and Frontage Levy	85,200	65,600	33,300	31,300	74,900	76,600	81,200	75,100	81,200
Reserves and Retained Earnings	257,800	192,300	194,400	211,100	194,300	216,100	233,000	246,700	288,300
Other Governments	206,200	160,400	106,900	95,200	86,800	106,900	99,700	71,200	48,400
	18%	374	285	26%	23%	27%	25%	19%	12
Other Funders	7,500	1,600	100	100	100				
Developers	500	3,300	3,100		2,600	500	700	1,000	1,700
Public Private Partnerships (Repayments)	(44,500)	[28,300]	(28,300)	(26,400)	(37,400)	(37,800)	(37,900)	(38,200)	(38,700
Non-Debt Sources	512,700	394,900	309,500	311,300	321,300	362,300	376,700	355,800	380,900
New Debt	665,000	37,900	70,500	56,400	48,300	30,000	22,100	19,300	21,400
Debt to Capital Budgeted	56%	95	19%	15%	13%	8%	6%	5%	5
Total Financing to Pay for Capital	1,177,700	432,800	380.000	367,700	369,600	392,300	398,800	375,100	402,300



Hemson Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues

(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Tota
Population in New Units	10,700	10,900	11,100	11,300	11,400	10,300	10,400	10,500	10,600	10,700	107,700
Summary Fees Table											
Residential	57,000	59,200	61,600	63,900	66,100	60,700	62,400	64,300	66,400	68,200	629,800
Office	11,700	8,200	7,500	10,100	7,600	6,500	6,800	7,200	6,600	6,400	78,500
Institutional Charge	11,300	8,100	7,400	10,000	7,400	6,400	6,700	7,000	6,500	6,300	77,000
Commercial / Retail Charge	11,200	7,900	7,200	9,700	7,300	6,200	6,500	6,900	6,300	6,100	75,300
Industrial Charge	18,100	12,700	11,600	15,700	11,700	10,100	10,500	11,100	10,200	9,900	121,600
Total Impact Fees Revenues	109,300	96,100	95,300	109,400	100,100	89,900	92,900	96,500	96,000	96,900	982,200
Total Revenues with 50% Residential	80,900	66,500	64,500	77,500	67,000	59,500	61,700	64,300	62,700	62,800	667,300
\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Tota

Schedule 8

19	Residential											
0	Parks and Open Spaces	900	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,100	10,200
1	Community Services	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,700	3,400	3,500	3,600	3,700	3,800	35,100
2	Solid Waste	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	3,000
3	Public Works	29,200	30,300	31,500	32,700	33,800	31,100	32,000	32,900	34,000	35,000	322,500
4	Transit	10,500	10,900	11,400	11,800	12,200	11,200	11,500	11,900	12,300	12,600	116,300
5	Fire & Paramedic Services	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,200	10,700
5	Police	1,100	1,100	1,200	1,200	1,300	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,300	1,200	12,000
7	Water	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,500	2,600	2,600	2,700	2,800	25,700
В	Wastewater	8,500	8,800	9,200	9,600	9,900	9,100	9,300	9,600	9,900	10,200	94,100
9		57,000	59,100	61,500	63,800	66,100	60,800	62,500	64,200	66,400	68,200	629,600
1	50% per Phased-In	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
2	2017 Prorated from May 1, 2017	16,600	29,600	30,800	32,000	33,000	30,400	31,200	32,100	33,200	34,100	314,800
3												
1	Impact Fee Collected	4,100	12,400	16,500								
5	Shortfall of Acrtual Collected	(12,500)	(17,200)	(29,700)	2017 and 201	8 Total						

36 37



Schedule 8

Hemson Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues

(\$,000)		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Tota
Office]										
Solid Waste	100	-		100			-			-	200
Public Works	6,500	4,600	4,200	5,600	4,200	3,600	3,800	4,000	3,700	3,600	43,800
Transit	2,300	1,600	1,500	2,000	1,500	1,300	1,300	1,400	1,300	1,300	15,500
Fire & Paramedic Services	200	100	100	200	100	100	100	100	100	100	1,20
Police	200	200	200	200	200	100	100	100	100	100	1,50
Water	500	400	300	400	300	300	300	300	300	300	3,40
Wastewater	1,900	1,300	1,200	1,600	1,200	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,000	1,000	12,40
	11,700	8,200	7,500	10,100	7,500	6,400	6,700	7,000	6,500	6,400	78,00
(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Tot
Institutional Charge	1										
Solid Waste	100	. 0		100					-		20
Public Works	6,100	4,500	4,100	5,500	4,100	3,600	3,700	3,900	3,600	3,500	42,60
Transit	2,300	1,600	1,400	2,000	1,500	1,300	1,300	1,400	1,300	1,200	15,30
Fire & Paramedic Services	200	100	100	2,000	100	100	100	100	100	100	1,20
Police	200	200	100	200	200	100	100	100	100	100	1,40
Water	500	400	300	400	300	300	300	300	300	300	3,40
Wastewater	1,800	1,300	1,200	1,600	1,200	1,000	1,100	1,100	1,000	1,000	12,30
	11,200	8,100	7,200	10,000	7,400	6,400	6,600	6,900	6,400	6,200	76,40
(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Tot
Commercial / Retail Charge	1										
Solid Waste	100	- 0		100							20
Public Works	6,300	4,400	4,000	5,400	4,000	3,500	3,600	3,800	3,500	3,400	20 41,90
Transit	2,200	1,500	1,400	1,900	1,400	1,200	1,300	1,300	1,200	1,200	41,90
Fire & Paramedic Services	2,200	1,300	1,400	200	1,400	1,200	1,500	1,300	1,200		14,60
Police	200	200	100	200	100	100	100	100		100	
Water	500	300	300	400	300	300	300		100	100	1,30
	1,800	1,300	1,100	1,600	1,200	1,000	1,000	300	300 1,000	300 1,000	3,30 12,10
Wastewater											



Schedule 8

Hemson Report Impact Fees Forecasted Revenues

(\$,000)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
9 Industrial Charge	_										
1 Solid Waste	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	1,000
Public Works	10,100	7,100	6,500	8,700	6,500	5,600	5,900	6,200	5,700	5,500	67,800
Transit	3,600	2,500	2,300	3,100	2,300	2,000	2,100	2,200	2,000	1,900	24,00
Fire & Paramedic Services	300	200	200	300	200	200	200	200	200	200	2,20
Police	400	300	200	300	200	200	200	200	200	200	2,40
Water	800	600	500	700	500	400	500	500	400	400	5,30
Wastewater	2,900	2,000	1,900	2,500	1,900	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,600	1,600	19,50
8	18,200	12,800	11,700	15,700	11,700	10,100	10,700	11,200	10,200	9,900	122,200

